

Livability / Transit Accessibility

Performance Based

Goal		Possible Metrics	Points Available
1	Improve access to the riders	a) Measured by LOS on Roadway	2
		b) Residents within 1/2 miles walking distance for ped and 3 miles for bicycle project	2
		c) Employment within 1/2 miles walking distance for ped and 3 miles for bicycle project	2
		d) Traffic count	2
2	Improve system connectivity and system	a) Connecting different modes	2
		b) Addresses missing link in the system	2
		c) Routes impacted	2
		d) Individuals Impacted	2
3	How it relates to land use	a) Supports transit accessible Land Use goals and infrastructure	2
		b) Support complete streets principles	2
4	Cost of project/number of people served in a and b above.	a) Cost of project/number of people served in a and b above.	5
40% Weight			

Equitable / Title VI /Special Needs

Goal (As Stated in the NOFA)		Possible Metrics (Existing Criteria if available)	Points Available
5	Will improve accessibility and transport services for economically disadvantaged populations, non-drivers, senior citizens, and persons with disabilities.	a) Percentage Low income within 1/2 miles (low,med,high)	2
		b) Percentage Non-drivers within 1/2 miles (low,med,high)	2
		c) Percentage Senior Citizens within 1/2 miles (low,med,high)	2
		d) Percentage Persons with disabilities within 1/2 miles (low,med,high)	2
		e) number of driver licenses (low,med,high)	2
		f) minority population within 1/2 miles (low,med,high)	2
		g) ADA compliance	5
		h) Population analysis - human services	5
30% Weight			

Safety - keep general until FTA guidance comes out

Description		Possible Metrics (Existing Criteria if available)	Points Available
6	Does this project improve the safety of transit users or providers?	a) Nice to have/ Will need to be addressed at one point/High Priority	2
7	Directly addresses transit crime concerns including bicyclists and pedestrians?	a) yes/no	2
8	Directly addresses pedestrian and bicyclists traffic safety concerns	b) yes/no	2
9	Improves security of the transit location	b) yes/no	2
20% Weight			

Other Considerations

Description		Possible Metrics (Existing Criteria if available)	Points Available
11	Committee Reading Score Ranking	Rank of the projet relative to others	
12	Local Commitment	a) Match %>=30%, Match %>=60%	2
		b) price reasonability	1
		b) Project readiness (Pre-design, NEPA, ROW, Construction)	3
10% Weight			

Preservation of System/State of Good Repair

Performance Based

Description		Possible Metrics (Existing Criteria if available)	Points Available
1	The age relative to its useful life.	a) Almost Met Useful life	2
		b) Met Useful life	2
		c) refurbishment (higher rating)	2
2	The project is critical to the type of service it provides, whether it is bus replacement, midlife rebuilt, parts replacement or facility maintenance issue.	a) Residents within 1/2 miles walking distance for ped and 3 miles for bicycle project	2
		b) Employment within 1/2 miles walking distance for ped and 3 miles for bicycle project	2
		c) Cost of project/requested funding relative to individuals served	2
		d) increases lifecycle of product	2
		e) Routes impacted	2
		f) Individuals Impacted	2
3	Cost of project/number of people served in a and b above.	a) Cost of project/number of people served in a and b above.	5
			40% Weight

Equitable / Title VI /Special Needs

Description		Possible Metrics (Existing Criteria if available)	Points Available
4	Will improve accessibility and transport services for economically disadvantaged populations, non-drivers, senior citizens, and persons with disabilities.	a) Percentage Low income within 1/2 miles (low,med,high)	2
		b) Percentage Non-drivers within 1/2 miles (low,med,high)	2
		c) Percentage Senior Citizens within 1/2 miles (low,med,high)	2
		d) Percentage Persons with disabilities within 1/2 miles (low,med,high)	2
		e) number of driver licenses (low,med,high)	2
		f) minority population within 1/2 miles (low,med,high)	2
		g) ADA compliance	2
		h) Population anaysis - human services	5
			30% Weight

Safety

Description		Possible Metrics (Existing Criteria if available)	Points Available
5	Does this project improve the safety of transit users or providers?	a) Nice to have/ Will need to be addressed at one point/High Priority	2
6	Directly addresses transit crime concerns	a) yes/no	2
			20% Weight

Other Considerations

Description		Possible Metrics (Existing Criteria if available)	Points Available
7	Committee Reading Score Ranking	Based on number of projects available.	
8	Local Commitment	a) Match %>=30%, Match %>=60%	
		b) price reasonability	
		c) Project readiness (Pre-design, NEPA, ROW, Construction)	
			10% Weight

* Not in original Discretionary Grant NOFAs