

MINUTES OF THE  
MAG MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING  
September 12, 2007  
MAG Office Building - Saguaro Room  
Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS ATTENDING

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| Jan Dolan, Scottsdale, Chair                           | * Mark Johnson, Guadalupe                                    |
| Charlie McClendon, Avondale, Vice Chair                | Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park                             |
| # Bryant Powell for George Hoffman,<br>Apache Junction | Christopher Brady, Mesa                                      |
| Dave Wilcox, Buckeye                                   | Tom Martinsen, Paradise Valley                               |
| * Jon Pearson, Carefree                                | Terry Ellis, Peoria  |
| * Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek                          | Frank Fairbanks, Phoenix                                     |
| Mark Pentz, Chandler                                   | John Kross, Queen Creek                                      |
| Pat Dennis for B.J. Cornwall, El Mirage                | * Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa<br>Indian Community |
| Alfonso Rodriguez, Fort McDowell<br>Yavapai Nation     | Doug Sandstrom for Jim Rumpeltes, Surprise                   |
| # Tim Pickering, Fountain Hills                        | Jeff Kulaga, Tempe   |
| * Lynn Farmer, Gila Bend                               | Reyes Medrano, Tolleson                                      |
| * Joseph Manuel, Gila River<br>Indian Community        | Gary Edwards, Wickenburg                                     |
| George Pettit, Gilbert                                 | Lloyce Robinson, Youngtown                                   |
| Jessica Blazina for Ed Beasley, Glendale               | Bill Hayden for Victor Mendez, ADOT                          |
| Brian Dalke, Goodyear                                  | David Smith, Maricopa County                                 |
|  | Bryan Jungwirth for David Boggs,<br>Valley Metro/RPTA        |

- \* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
- # Participated by telephone conference call.
- + Participated by videoconference call.

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Chair Jan Dolan at 12:08 p.m.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Chair Dolan noted that Bryant Powell, Apache Junction, and Tim Pickering, Fountain Hills, were participating by telephone conference call. She introduced and welcomed Gary Edwards, the new Town Manager for Wickenburg. Chair Dolan stated that transit tickets were available from Valley Metro/RPTA for those using transit to come to the meeting. Parking validation was available from MAG staff for those who parked in the parking garage. Chair Dolan stated that materials for agenda items #7 and #8 were at each place.

Chair Dolan presented a Resolution of Appreciation to MAG staff member, Don Worley, who was retiring after almost 20 years of service to MAG.

Chair Dolan presented a Resolution of Appreciation to Stephen Cleveland, recently retired Goodyear City Manager. She noted that Mr. Cleveland will be doing some consulting work. Chair Dolan stated that Mr. Cleveland performed many services at MAG, including allocation of federal funds and air quality. Photographs and a cake also marked the occasion.

Mr. Ellis stated that Mr. Cleveland had been a first rate city manager in this County for a long time. He stated that Goodyear is an example of how to do high quality, innovative development in the desert. Mr. Ellis remarked that this was no accident, it is because of good leadership and good management. Mr. Ellis stated that Mr. Cleveland always assisted and was the first to volunteer. He expressed his thanks to Mr. Cleveland and said that he was a good friend and a good manager who contributed to the public management profession.

Ms. Robinson stated that Mr. Cleveland was her boss for five years. She said that he is a man of process and change. Ms. Robinson stated that through Mr. Cleveland, she had learned to be efficient, interact with councilmembers, and follow through with a timely decision.

Mr. Dalke expressed his thanks to Mr. Cleveland for taking a chance on him 13 years before. He acknowledged Mr. Cleveland's mentoring, coaching, and leadership skills. Mr. Dalke stated that Mr. Cleveland taught them to dream big and they have reached a lot of those dreams. He noted that Mr. Cleveland also taught them the importance of public outreach.

Mr. McClendon stated that it was appropriate to recognize Mr. Cleveland at MAG because he exemplified regionalism. He added that Mr. Cleveland was a good neighbor to Avondale.

Mr. Rodriguez said that he had worked for Mr. Cleveland for three years. He stated that through Mr. Cleveland, he had learned about reaching for perfection. Mr. Rodriguez added that Mr. Cleveland had been a good friend, boss, and mentor.

Mr. Fairbanks expressed his agreement with members' comments about Mr. Cleveland. He stated that Mr. Cleveland had been a tremendous leader in professional management and set an example for leadership, commitment, and innovations. Mr. Fairbanks remarked that Mr. Cleveland was a great colleague to work with and that is important.

David Smith stated that Mr. Cleveland was a 24-hour-a-day professional, who keeps a pleasant demeanor during intense discussions. Mr. Smith stated that he might discuss Mr. Cleveland doing some consulting work and being a county liaison with the cities and towns on the implementation of air quality measures.

Chair Dolan expressed her thanks to Mr. Cleveland on behalf of the Management Committee. She commented on the fact that Mr. Cleveland had chaired a lot of MAG committees and had done a great job as a city manager.

Mr. Cleveland expressed that it had been a pleasure to work with members of the Management Committee. He said that MAG can take pride in its accomplishments when people can set aside

parochial views and do what is best for the region. Mr. Cleveland stated that those in the audience can also take pride because they have the Management Committee to wrestle with issues and to make recommendations to the elected officials. He spoke about how serving on a committee was very rewarding for him and helps to develop an appreciation and understanding for differences. Mr. Cleveland stated that a sharing of knowledge and experience will result in success. He stated that he was proud of MAG's efforts and his association with MAG. Mr. Cleveland offered his assistance if anyone or an organization needed his help.

3. Call to the Audience

Chair Dolan stated that Call to the Audience provides an opportunity to the public to address the Management Committee on items that are not on the agenda that are within the jurisdiction of MAG, or non-action agenda items that are on the agenda for discussion or information only. Chair Dolan noted that those wishing to comment on agenda items posted for action will be provided the opportunity at the time the item is heard. Public comments have a three minute time limit and there is a timer to help the public with their presentations.

Chair Dolan recognized public comment from Dianne Barker, who stated that Mr. Cleveland had been a blessing to the region. She expressed her hope that he would continue with his work on air quality issues. Ms. Barker noted that she had induced another citizen to attend the meeting. Ms. Barker stated that the bus on which she rode to the meeting was packed with passengers, but the fare box was not working. She commented that the amount generated by the fareboxes almost meets the administration cost. Ms. Barker suggested running buses at public expense might be an option. She commented that toll roads could bring in revenue to help in problem areas such as the Broadway Curve. Ms. Barker added that a bid needs to be put out for an elevated toll train. Chair Dolan thanked Ms. Barker for her comments.

4. Approval of Consent Agenda

Chair Dolan stated that agenda items #4A, #4B, #4C, #4D, #4E, and #4F were on the consent agenda. She asked if any member of the committee had questions or a request to have a presentation on any consent agenda item. None were noted. Chair Dolan reviewed the public comment guidelines for the consent agenda.

Chair Dolan recognized public comment from Doris Heisler, project director of the Tonopah Valley Association, who also submitted a written statement for the record. Ms. Heisler stated that Global Water Resources has presented impressive information to the Tonopah residents on facilities that Global operates in other areas of the state. Ms. Heisler stated that Global Water is interested in recycling and reusing the water more times than is customarily done in order to pump less water from ground wells. She said that Global Water is technically capable of providing good wastewater treatment needs for the developments proposed in the Tonopah Valley. Ms. Heisler stated that Global Water is sufficiently staffed and capitalized and can afford to put in the infrastructure necessary for the proper delivery, treatment, distribution, and recharge of water and wastewater. Ms. Heisler noted that Global has indicated its desire to invest in the proper infrastructure. She added that this could eventually lead to users being taken off septic systems. Ms. Heisler stated that she would continue her comments during agenda item #8. Chair Dolan thanked Ms. Heisler for her comments.

Mr. Ellis moved to recommend approval of consent agenda items #4A, #4B, #4C, #4D, #4E, and #4F. Mr. McClendon seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

4A. Approval of August 8, 2007 Meeting Minutes

The Management Committee by consent, approved the August 8, 2007 meeting minutes.

4B. Arterial Life Cycle Program Status Report

The Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP) Status Report covers the period from January to June of 2007 and includes an update on ALCP Project work, the FY 2008 ALCP schedule, and ALCP revenues and finances. This item was on the agenda for information and discussion.

4C. Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Hassayampa Utility Company Southwest Service Area

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Hassayampa Utility Company Southwest Service Area. Maricopa County has requested that MAG amend the 208 Water Quality Management Plan to include the Campus 1 water reclamation facility for the Hassayampa Utility Company Southwest Service Area with an ultimate capacity of 32 million gallons per day (mgd). Reclaimed water from the facility would be disposed of through reuse, recharge, and AZPDES Permit discharge points to the Delaney Wash, Four Mile Wash, Old Camp Wash, and/or a discharge point 12,000 feet northeast of the facility in an unnamed wash. The Delaney Wash, Old Camp Wash, and the unnamed wash are tributaries to the Four Mile Wash. A public hearing on the draft amendment was conducted on August 7, 2007. Following the hearing, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee recommended approval of the Draft 208 Plan Amendment.

4D. Registration for the Local Update of Census Addresses Program

The Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program provides cities, towns and the county with an opportunity to update the Census Bureau address list for their jurisdiction. The address list will be used to distribute Census 2010 questionnaires. An accurate address list is crucial to obtaining a good census population count, which will be used to distribute billions of dollars in state-shared revenue to Arizona cities and towns. In early August, the Census Bureau sent out an invitation and a registration form to the Highest Elected Official of each city, town and the county, inviting him/her to participate in the LUCA program. To receive the full 120 days to review and provide comments on the address list, jurisdictions need to register for the LUCA Program by November 19, 2007. This item was on the agenda for information and discussion.

4E. MAG Incarceration of Municipal Prisoners Stakeholders Group

In May 2007, the Management Committee reconstituted the MAG Incarceration of Municipal Prisoners Stakeholders Group. The Stakeholders Group has met to discuss current methodology for determining the per diem costs and booking fees related to the incarceration of municipal offenders. The Stakeholders Group also discussed current and potential factors affecting costs

related to these activities. The next meeting of the Stakeholders Group will be October 2nd, and the discussion will be focused on one of the primary potential cost savings approaches, remote offender adjudication through video/audio access. A final meeting will be held to discuss other cost savings approaches, as well as draft cost and fee projections through 2014. A final report from the Incarceration Stakeholders Group is expected to be available for consideration by the Management Committee in November 2007. This item was on the agenda for information and discussion.

4F. ADOT Red Letter Process

In June of 1996, the MAG Regional Council approved the ADOT Red Letter process, which requires MAG member agencies to notify ADOT of potential development activities in freeway alignments. Development activities include actions on plans, zoning and permits. ADOT has forwarded a list of notifications from January 1, 2007, to June 30, 2007. Upon request any of the notices can be removed from the consent agenda and returned for action at a future meeting. This item was on the agenda for information and discussion. ADOT received 709 Red Letter notifications in the period from January 1, 2007 to June 30, 2007. In addition to the 181 separate examples attached, ADOT has requested a complete set of plans for the developments and a plan review on an additional 195 notifications. The 195 additional notices included zoning changes and/or general plan amendments that would put future developments adjacent or very close to ADOT right-of-way that would cause concerns. The ADOT Red Letter coordinator also received 84 telephone, mail, and/or email notifications of possible impact to the State Highway System. The 84 telephone, mail, and/or email notifications consisted of 25 notifications on the Estrella Corridor, 303 Loop and 26 on the South Mountain, 202 Loop, 20 on the I-10 Reliever and 13 on the 303 Loop south of I-10.

5. Youth Empowerment Project Update

Amy St. Peter, MAG Human Services Manager, stated that the MAG Regional Domestic Violence Council and the Human Services Coordinating Committee created the Youth Empowerment Project to address teen dating violence. She stated that teens developed messages by entering a competition to promote the project and the website, [www.weboffriends.org](http://www.weboffriends.org). Ms. St. Peter reported that a public service announcement of the winning entry was produced. The winning video entry was shown to the Committee. Ms. St. Peter invited members to feature the public service announcement on their community cable stations and websites.

6. Reexamination of the MAG Highway Acceleration Policy

Eric Anderson, MAG Transportation Director, provided an overview of the MAG Acceleration Policy that was adopted in March 2000. He stated that the policy outlines the process for jurisdictions to accelerate projects. Mr. Anderson stated that the Policy ensures that local financing is provided in a fiscally prudent manner, and ensures that other projects are not affected and also stay on schedule. Mr. Anderson stated that the sharing of interest costs recognizes the benefit to both the local jurisdiction and the region because costs resulting from future inflation are avoided.

Mr. Anderson reviewed the background of the Acceleration Policy. He stated that when reimbursement guidelines were first established in February 1995, the local jurisdiction had to pay 100 percent of the interest. Mr. Anderson stated that revised guidelines were adopted in May 1997. They provided for interest sharing between the ADOT Program and local jurisdictions. Mr. Anderson advised that this was a major change and resulted from the feeling that the cities should not have to bear 100 percent of the interest cost.

Mr. Anderson stated that the guidelines were revised again in March 2000. He said that language was added to cover non-Proposition 300 highway projects. Mr. Anderson stated that the City of Mesa, to accelerate the US 60 project, paid 100 percent of the interest cost. Mr. Anderson stated that with the passage of Proposition 400, all freeway and highway projects are included in the 20-year life cycle program. He noted that prior to Proposition 400, there were two separate funds.

Mr. Anderson displayed a chart that showed projects accelerated to-date by the jurisdictions of Phoenix, Chandler, Mesa, and Avondale, Goodyear, and Litchfield Park. He noted that the funding sources included HELP loans, Grant Anticipation Notes, HPAN and local funds.

Mr. Anderson reviewed the requirements of the MAG Acceleration Policy: the project must be in Plan; ADOT is responsible for constructing the project; enhancements, such as artwork, are not eligible for reimbursement; agreement of other jurisdictions is required if the project crosses boundaries; coordination is needed with adjacent jurisdictions and avoidance of adverse traffic impacts; maintain any previous commitments of local funds; repayment of project costs and interest will follow the life cycle program schedule; provides for the calculation of interest reimbursement; the payment schedule is adjusted as other projects in the program; there can be no adverse impacts on other projects in the program; ADOT will notify MAG of any requests to accelerate a project; and non-recoverable costs are paid by the jurisdiction if the accelerated project does not move forward.

Mr. Anderson stated that the sharing of interest expense is a section that may warrant simplification. He stated that interest expense is shared between the ADOT Program and the local jurisdiction. Mr. Anderson commented that interest sharing is based on expected inflation savings and the local share of interest expense is reduced by half of the inflation rate. He then provided an example of interest sharing. For example, if the interest rate is five percent and the ADOT inflation rate is six percent, the local share of interest is calculated using half of the ADOT inflation rate, in this case, three percent. The three percent is then deducted from the five percent and the city share of interest would be two percent. Mr. Anderson advised that the interest sharing amount depends on the interest rate and notes.

Mr. Anderson stated that issues that could be discussed in an examination of the Acceleration Policy could include: Should the Acceleration Policy apply to all highway and freeway projects? What form of local commitment does there need to be for MAG to consider an acceleration request? Should the sharing of interest expense include a fixed percentage rather than one tied to the ADOT projected inflation rate, or should there be a minimum local share of interest? The use of regional financing capacity versus local financing. He noted that MAG has a Grant Anticipation Note capacity of \$400 million. Mr. Anderson advised that the first two years of the

TIP are financially constrained, and a project cannot be put in the TIP until there is a financial commitment.

Chair Dolan stated that she had requested this item be on the agenda due to recent discussions on the I-10 widening and the changes due to STAN I and STAN II. She said that she would like managers or their transportation representatives to form a working group to examine the issues Mr. Anderson mentioned in his presentation. Chair Dolan noted that the working group might or might not recommend changes.

Mr. Pentz expressed his support for forming a working group. He said that because circumstances have changed, the process may need to be reexamined, and participation by the managers would be appropriate. He stated that he appreciated the proactive approach.

Mr. Brady said that he thought a reexamination by a working group was a good idea and he would be glad to assist. He said that circumstances have changed and stepping back with a look at lessons learned was needed.

Chair Dolan asked those interested agency managers or their representative could contact Mr. Anderson who would facilitate formation of the group.

7. Assignment of Funding to the MAG Transportation Program by Congressional/Legislative Action

Dennis Smith, MAG Executive Director, reported on state and federal funding that comes to the region. Mr. Smith stated that MAG is federally required to develop the Regional Transportation Plan, the Transportation Improvement Program, and the Unified Planning Work Program in cooperation with the Arizona Department of Transportation and transit agencies.

Mr. Smith stated that because MAG is an MPO and TMA, it is subject to a certification review. He explained that based on a finding during one of these reviews, ADOT must provide federal funding revenue estimates to MAG. Mr. Smith stated that the MAG TIP, approved by the Governor, needs to be included without modification into the State Transportation Improvement Program. He noted that this is important because the TIP is being modeled for air quality and cannot be changed.

Mr. Smith stated that in 1999, after TEA-21 passed, Mary Peters, who was at that time the ADOT Director, pulled together representatives from the Arizona planning agencies. At this meeting an agreement, called the Casa Grande Resolves, was reached. He advised that the Resolves established a funding formula for federal and state transportation funds. Mr. Smith added that Arizona follows federal law, but this is not the case in all states, such as Colorado, where the DOT drives the process. He stated that the Resource Allocation Advisory Committee evolved from the Casa Grade Resolves process and is very unique in the United States. Mr. Smith noted that the Resource Allocation Advisory Committee is very important in distributing the federal money that comes to Arizona.

Mr. Smith stated that during Proposition 400, there was interest by the Legislature to select projects for the Plan. He noted that a memorandum by the General Counsel for the Arizona

Legislative Council said that to receive federal funding for projects, the state must comply with the federal planning requirements; a legislatively developed plan would be contrary to these requirements because the transportation plan must be cooperatively developed and approved by the MPO; if a transportation plan is developed contrary to the federal requirements, the Secretary of Transportation can refuse to approve projects and deny federal funding; the legislature probably could not appropriate federal monies provided for transportation projects; a state-funded project would require a conformity determination that would have to be made by MAG.

Mr. Smith stated that during the development of the Regional Transportation Plan for Proposition 400, all funding that was regional in nature was laid on the table and programmed for 20 years. He noted that Colorado, for example, has a 20-year plan but only five years are programmed, which means that there is competition to have projects funded in the sixth year. Mr. Smith advised that Arizona statutes include very strict amendment procedures for making changes to the Plan and also includes firewalls for funding categories. He added that MAG has probably the most strict procedures in the United States.

Mr. Smith explained above the line and below the line funding. He stated that the majority of federal transportation dollars are included in the formula to ADOT and are considered below the line. Mr. Smith stated that the Resource Allocation Advisory Committee formula distributes all of the federal and state funds and they are programmed by ADOT and the regional planning agencies. He advised that above the line funding is very limited and Arizona does not ordinarily receive these funds. He said that the majority of funding Arizona receives is below the line funding. He added that above the line funding is usually earmarked to a specific project by Congress.

Mr. Smith explained that transit funding is different from highway funding. He said that some transit dollars to Arizona are similar to the highway program and are formula driven, while others are highly competitive, such as new and small starts for light rail, commuter rail and bus rapid transit, and bus capital. Mr. Smith stated that RPTA provides the list of projects to be used to obtain federal transit money for the region's projects.

Mr. Smith stated that Arizona's cooperative relationship with ADOT is unique in the country. He noted that this region not only has a 20-year plan, it also has a 20-year program. Mr. Smith stated that a statewide funding formula is in place and has worked since 1999. He stated that tinkering with the formula to get money disrupts not only the agreement with the rural planning agencies in Arizona, but also the priorities MAG already established. Mr. Smith stated that the most important thing is keeping the commitment made to the voters to build the Plan. Mr. Smith stated we want to protect the MAG process to have the decisions come through MAG. Chair Dolan thanked Mr. Smith for his presentation and commented that the current process has been working well. She added that tinkering with this process is risky.

8. Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Hassayampa Utility Company Northeast Service Area

Julie Hoffman, MAG Environmental Planner, noted that Maricopa County has requested that MAG amend the 208 Water Quality Management Plan to include four water reclamation facilities (WRFs) for the Hassayampa Utility Company (HUC) Northeast Service Area located

in unincorporated Maricopa County. She noted that the total expected wastewater flows throughout the Area are projected to be 45 million gallons per day (mgd). Ms. Hoffman stated that on June 6, 2007, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee authorized a public hearing on the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment for the HUC Northeast Service Area. On August 7, 2007, MAG conducted a public hearing on the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendments for the HUC Northeast Service Area and HUC Southwest Service Area. Ms. Hoffman noted that following the public hearing, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee recommended approval of the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment for the HUC Northeast Service Area. Ms. Hoffman noted that representatives from the County and the Hassayampa Utility Company were present to answer any questions. Chair Dolan thanked Ms. Hoffman for her report and asked members if they had any questions for Ms. Hoffman. None were noted.

Chair Dolan recognized public comment from Ms. Heisler, who continued her public comment. Ms. Heisler stated that last year, Global Water purchased the Water Utility of Greater Tonopah and is upgrading and improving the condition of the water system. She expressed concern that some of Tonopah would need to annex into Buckeye to receive services. Ms. Heisler commented that Tonopah does not want to be a part of Buckeye. Ms. Heisler stated that Buckeye does not have the experience of owning and operating these integrated services, nor the financial resources immediately available, as compared to Global Water. She commented that Global Water is capable of serving the area better than Buckeye, which is having difficulty keeping up with its own growth. Ms. Heisler stated if a private company such as Global Water is not able to provide the needed water and wastewater services to the area and Buckeye is, it could involve developments that are being proposed across the Tonopah Valley and be devastating to the future growth and development of Tonopah Valley. She requested that MAG approve the HUC Northeast Service Area and HUC Southwest Service Area 208 Plan Amendments and allow Global Water to provide the needed regional wastewater services for the proposed developments. Ms. Heisler stated that several people from Tonopah were at the meeting. Chair Dolan thanked Ms. Heisler for her comments.

Chair Dolan recognized public comment from Paul Gilbert, Beus Gilbert, the attorney representing Global Water. Mr. Gilbert acknowledged that they are aware that the Town of Buckeye has requested a 30-day continuance and they are going to go along with that request. Mr. Gilbert stated that because the Management Committee had already recommended approval of agenda item #4C, Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Hassayampa Utility Company Southwest Service Area, a case virtually the same as Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Hassayampa Utility Company Northeast Service Area, he believed there was implicit acknowledgment that Global Water had complied with all of the requirements of the 208 process. Mr. Gilbert stated that Global takes exception with Buckeye's request to discuss matters that deal with the Arizona Department of Water Resources, water quantity and quality, and reclamation issues that are not part of the 208 process. Mr. Gilbert commented that he thought MAG was establishing a precedent that was going to be deleterious to the MAG organization in regard to future 208s. He said that they are concerned that there is nothing in the Clean Water Act that addresses Buckeye's reasons for continuing this item. Mr. Gilbert stated that Global has agreed to meet with and discuss Buckeye's concerns and he was glad to be able to work with Dave Wilcox on this matter. Mr. Gilbert advised that even though discussion is taking place, they do not want anyone to think Global is changing its position. He added that they are going along with a 30-day continuance

with the understanding that it will be the last request for a continuance. Mr. Gilbert stated that Global hopes that when they come back after 30 days, there will be a commitment from this body. Chair Dolan thanked Mr. Gilbert for his comments.

Mr. Wilcox moved to continue this item for 30 days until the October Management Committee meeting. Mr. McClendon seconded. Chair Dolan asked if there was discussion on the motion.

Mr. Pentz asked if a 30-day continuance was sufficient to work through the issues mentioned by the speaker. Mr. Wilcox commented that the Town of Buckeye's position is to have the opportunity to discuss with the applicant the optimal way of managing the Hassayampa River Basin water together. Mr. Wilcox said that the Town feels strongly that it is difficult, if not impossible to establish a sustainable water supply for the region without that cooperative approach. He said he felt the use of water includes reuse and recharge issues, part of which are incorporated into the 208 amendment. Mr. Wilcox stated that they have had successful dialogue to-date to negotiate an agreement to agree on the standards for the development and use of water in the area. He expressed that he was cautiously optimistic that those negotiations will continue. Mr. Wilcox commented that he felt not all issues will be resolved in 30 days, but a record of discussion and some agreement of collaborative water use could be established.

Chair Dolan, noting no further discussion, called for a vote on the motion, which passed unanimously. She noted that this item would be agendized in 30 days.

9. Comments from the Committee

An opportunity will be provided for Management Committee members to present a brief summary of current events. The Management Committee is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action at the meeting on any matter in the summary, unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.

Mr. McClendon commented on the statements made by Mr. Gilbert that the Management Committee's action on agenda item #4C, Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Hassayampa Utility Company Southwest Service Area, implied that it would have to make a recommendation for approval in 30 days on the Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Hassayampa Utility Company Northeast Service Area agenda item. He stated that this puts the Management Committee in a difficult position. Mr. McClendon stated that he did not understand that they were tied together and if that is the case, he would like to make a motion to reconsider action on agenda item #4C. Chair Dolan noted that the comment was made by the attorney representing the water company, and did not mean that MAG accepted it.

David Smith reported that on Monday, the Board of Supervisors approved a major financial commitment to air quality. He said that they authorized 91 new positions, including 71 dust inspectors, supervisors, technologists, etc.; a 50 percent increase in the air quality budget, \$5 million for paving unpaved roads, and \$500,000 for the Planning and Development Department for coordinative work. Mr. Smith stated they are also looking at reorganizing the work process and will probably get a mobile dust monitor and other equipment. He stated that the Board wants a close collaborative relationship with municipal staff working on air quality issues.

Chair Dolan commented that this was a significant commitment and she expressed her appreciation for the County's support and Mr. Smith's efforts. She commented on the great article on Mr. Smith in The Arizona Republic. Chair Dolan expressed her congratulations to Mr. Worley and Mr. Cleveland for their service to the MAG region over the years.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 1:10 p.m.

---

Secretary

---

Chairman