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Ms. Brenda Day
Maricopa Association of Governments
302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300
Phoenix, AZ 85003

RE: Clean Water Act Section 208 Amendment
City of Surprise SPA 2 10.5 MGD Regional Water Reclamation Facility

Dear Ms. Day:

The City of Surprise is requesting an application to request a Clean Water Act Section 208 Amendment to the existing Regional Water Quality Management Plan. The proposed 208 Amendment will include 10.5 million gallons per day (MGD) Regional Water Reclamation Facility in the City of Surprise Special Planning Area Number 2 (SPA 2).

The October, 2002 MAG 208 Water Quality Management Report, addresses growth within the City of Surprise SPA 2 by the construction of “North WWTP” by 2005. The City of Surprise has completed an Integrated Water Master Plan, which has identified the collection and wastewater treatment needs for the City of Surprise General Plan 2020 Special Planning Areas 1 to 5. In the SPA No. 2, the projected build-out capacity is 10.5 MGD based on a total build-out population of 96,607. Lennar Communities Development, Inc. (Lennar) has acquired 1,500 acres within SPA No. 2. Lennar plans to develop a planned master community called “Asante by Lennar Community Development” and will implement a new wastewater collection system and Phases IA and IB of the City of Surprise 10.5 MGD Regional WRF to service the new development, as well as the remaining portion of SPA No. 2. The City plans to build the SPA No. 2, 10.5 MGD Regional Water Reclamation Facility in multiple stages. The first phase consisting of sub-phases IA and IB, will be designated as the Developer’s Phase. The City envisions the future design of the subsequent master planned phases, from Phase II to build-out, in multiple modular type treatment facilities at later dates. In addition, the City has hired a consultant to prepare a Technology Assessment Report, which would identify the wastewater treatment technology needs for the City’s five special planning areas. Therefore, the City of Surprise is sponsoring this amendment in order to include the SPA No. 2, 10.5 MGD Regional WRF into the Regional Water Quality Management Plan and to reduce the impacts that uncoordinated development may have on the groundwater quality and the City’s existing wastewater treatment systems.

The construction of the City of Surprise owned and operated SPA No. 2, 10.5 MGD Regional WRF will reclaim wastewater flows to Arizona Department of Environmental...
Quality (ADEQ) Title 18 Class A+ effluent standards from an approximate 13,229 acre region within the City’s Regional Analysis Zone (RAZ) 212. The facility will be operating starting from zero flow with accommodations for temporary low-loading conditions. An Aquifer Protection Permit (APP) permit and potentially Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR) Aquifer Storage and Recovery Permit will be obtained by the City for non-potable reuse and for groundwater recharge of the facility effluent into recharge basins. Discharge of effluent to water body of the US (i.e. McMicken Dam outlet channel or Agua Fria River) will ultimately be achieved via an AZPDES permits. The SPA No. 2, 10.5 MGD Regional WRF will be designed and constructed in multiple phases which will be sized based on rates of wastewater generation in response to growth in the service area.

By providing a new facility in the region, a local source of effluent will become available for irrigation and other non-potable reuse, alleviating pressure on groundwater resources. In addition, artificial recharge of the effluent will provide groundwater credits to the City, while recharging the aquifer in the general area of the original groundwater withdrawal.

By transmittal of this letter and a copy of the draft 208 Amendment, it is requested of MAG on behalf of the City of Surprise that the amendment process be initiated. Technical questions and comments should be directed to Mr. Steve Owen, PE, of PERC, Inc.; e-mail sowen@percwat.com. After your comments have been received, copies of the 208 Amendment will be prepared and delivered to MAG, as required, for processing and distribution.

Please feel free to contact me at (623) 875-4293 should you have any general questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Rich Williams, Sr.
Water Services Director

cc: Jim Rumpeltes, City Manager
    Kathy Rice, Assistant City Manager
    Jeff Blilie, City of Surprise Attorney
    Scott Switzer, Lennar
    Raj Thakur, RT Engineers
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The water quality management plan for Maricopa County, AZ, based on Section 208(a)(2)(b) of the Clean Water Act (CWA), is provided by the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG). The goal of the 208 Plan is to identify area-wide wastewater treatment needs, water quality management problems, and establish a program to alleviate them. The October, 2002 MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan, addresses growth within the City of Surprise Special Planning Area (SPA) Number 2 by the construction of “North WWTP” by 2005. The City of Surprise has completed an Integrated Water Master Plan, which has identified the collection and wastewater treatment needs for the City of Surprise General Plan 2020 Special Planning Areas 1 to 5. In the SPA No. 2, the projected ultimate build-out capacity is 10.5 MGD, based on a total build-out population of 96,607. Lennar Communities Development, Inc. (Lennar) has acquired 1,500 acres within SPA No. 2. Lennar plans to develop a planned master community called “Asante by Lennar Community Development” and will implement a new wastewater collection system and Phases IA and IB (Developer Phases) of the City of Surprise regional treatment facility to service the new development, as well as the remaining portion of SPA No. 2. The City plans to build the SPA No. 2, 10.5 MGD Regional Water Reclamation Facility in multiple stages. The first two phases, Phases IA and IB, will be designated as the Developer’s phases. The City envisions the future design of the subsequent master planned phases, from Phase II to build-out, in multiple modular type treatment facilities at future dates. In addition, the City has hired a consultant to prepare a Technology Assessment Report, which would identify the wastewater treatment technologies for the City’s five special planning areas. Therefore, the City of Surprise is sponsoring this amendment in order to include the SPA No. 2 10.5 MGD Regional WRF into the Regional Water Quality Management Plan and to reduce the impacts that uncoordinated development may have on the groundwater quality and the City’s existing wastewater treatment systems. It is the City of Surprise intent to correct the 2002 MAG 208 boundaries to mirror image the City’s General Plan 2020 boundaries. The requested amendment includes the following:

Amendment Item:

The construction of a new City of Surprise owned and operated 10.5 MGD (Phase IA - 1.2 MGD) SPA No.2 Regional WRF in the City of Surprise. The new facility will reclaim wastewater flows to Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Title 18 Class A+ effluent standards from an approximate 13,229 acre region within Surprise in Regional Analysis Zone (RAZ) 212. The facility will be operated starting from zero flow with accommodations for temporary low-loading conditions. An Aquifer Protection Permit (APP) permit and potentially an Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR) Aquifer Storage and Recovery permit will be obtained by the City for non-potable reuse and for groundwater recharge of the facility effluent into recharge basins. Discharge of effluent to a water body of the US (i.e. McMicken Dam outlet or Agua Fria River) will ultimately be achieved from the facility via an AZPDES permits. The SPA No.2 Regional WRF will be designed and constructed in multiple phases which will be sized based on rates of wastewater generation in response to growth in the service area.
By constructing a new facility near proposed developments, wastewater collection and effluent distribution systems will be considerably smaller in size and less costly as compared to conveying flows to and from existing or other proposed facilities in the surrounding area. By providing a new facility in the region, a local source of effluent will become available for irrigation and other non-potable reuse, alleviating pressure on groundwater resources. In addition, artificial recharge of the effluent will provide groundwater recharge credits to the City, while recharging the aquifer in the general area of the original groundwater withdrawal.

This CWA 208 Amendment application provides information on the proposed SPA No.2 Regional WRF. The following sections describe how the Section 208 requirements are addressed, including treatment alternatives, permitting, treatment facility design, sludge management, construction, financing, impacts, and public participation.
LETTERS OF NO OBJECTION

City of Peoria & Maricopa County
May 09, 2005

Maricopa Association of Governments
302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300
Phoenix, AZ 85003

Attention: Ms. Lindy Bauer, Environmental Program Coordinator

Re: City of Surprise, Special Planning Area No. 2, Water Reclamation Facility
Clean Water Act MAG 208 Amendment

Dear Ms. Bauer:

In a transmittal dated May 4, 2005, Pacific Environmental Resources Corp. (PERC) & Pacific Advanced Civil Engineering, Inc. (PACE) submitted a revised proposed 208 Amendment for expansion of the City of Surprise, Special Planning Area No. 2, Water Reclamation Facility to Maricopa County Environmental Services Department (Department). The Facility is located in the northeast ¼ of Section 18, T4N, R1W, just outside the City of Surprise.

The document was submitted to the Department because it is located within unincorporated areas of Maricopa County. The facility is located approximately one mile from the City of Surprise and four miles from the City of Peoria. The City of Peoria provided a letter of no objection in which it notes “an apparent discrepancy between the MAG 208 Plan and the Peoria – Surprise Planning boundary along the eastern portion of SPA No. 2” that it plans to resolve with the City of Surprise.

Based on a review of the proposed 208 MAG 208 Amendment, revised May 2005, the Department has determined that the proposed plant is acceptable and complies with the MAG 208 Review and Approval Process under the MAG 208 Areawide Water Quality Management Plan. The proposed plant expansion is not in conflict with Maricopa County plans for the area.

Please note that the Department has not reviewed, nor approved, the design of the facilities as part of the 208 review. Any technical issues that remain will need to be resolved during the design phase of the project. Approval to Construct (ATC) and Approval of Construction (AOC) must be obtained from this Department prior to start of construction and startup, respectively, of all treatment, discharge, recharge, and reuse facilities, including all conveyance facilities and final end user facilities.

If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact Mr. Kenneth James, PE, or myself at 506-6666.

Sincerely,

Dale Bodilya, P.E.
Acting Manager, Water and Waste Management Division

cc: Rich Williams, Sr., City of Surprise, 12425 W. Bell Rd., Suite D-100, Surprise, AZ 85374
    Duong Do, PE, PERC, 17520 Newhope St., Suite 140, Fountain Valley, CA 92708
    File
February 25, 2006
Revised June 28, 2005

Mr. Rich Williams, Sr.
Water Services Director
12425 West Bell Road, Suite D-100
Surprise AZ 85374

Re: Clean Water Act Section 208 Amendment
City of Surprise SRA 2 Regional Water Reclamation Facility

Dear Mr. Williams,

This is in response to your letter regarding the above referenced Water Reclamation Facility. The City of Peoria has no objection to the proposed new City of Surprise SPA 2 Regional Water Reclamation Facility. We understand the service area of the facility will be limited to that portion of the City of Surprise defined as SPA 2 which is generally south of the Central Arizona Project Canal and northwest of Grand Avenue within the Surprise City Limits.

Please call me at 623-773-7131 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

William L. Mettingly, P.E., R.L.S
Deputy Utilities Director

www.peoriaaz.com
October 18, 2004

Dais Bodiya, P.E.
Manager, Water and Waste Management Division
Maricopa County Environmental Services Department
1001 North Central Avenue, Suite 150
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Re: Clean Water Act Section 208 Amendment
City of Surprise SPA 5 Regional Water Reclamation Facility

Dear Mr. Bodiya:

The City of Surprise is submitting an application to request a Clean Water Act Section 208 Amendment to the existing Regional Water Quality Management Plan of the Maricopa County (Arizona) Planning Area. The objective of the amendment is to include the City of Surprise Special Planning Area Number 2 (SPA 2) 10.5 million gallons per day (MGD) Regional Water Reclamation Facility to the existing Regional Water Quality Management Plan.

The City of Surprise-owned and operated SPA 2, 10.5 MGD Regional Water Reclamation Facility would be located in the Northeast ¼ of Section 18 T4N R1W. The proposed site is located in the City's general planning area. The City plans to build the SPA 2, 10.5 MGD Regional Water Reclamation Facility in several phases. The first two phases (Phase I and Phase II), funded and constructed by developer(s), would be designated as Developer Phases. The City envisions the future design and construction of the subsequent master planned City Phase(s) (Phase II to Build-Out) in multiple modular type treatment facilities at later dates. The design and construction of the subsequent phases would depend upon the rate of residential and commercial growth in the City's Special Planning Area 2. The City has completed an Integrated Water Master Plan, which has identified the collection and wastewater treatment needs of the City of Surprise General Plan 2020 Special Planning Areas 1 to 5. In addition, the City has hired a Consultant to prepare a Technology Assessment Report, which will identify the Master Planned wastewater treatment technologies for the City's five special planning areas. The MAG 208 Amendment also includes the construction of Developer Phase I of the SPA 2, 10.5 MGD Regional Water Reclamation Facility.

The Lennar Community Development Inc. (Lennar) has acquired 1,500 acres and plans to develop a master planned community called "Arizona Development" in the City's SPA 2. Lennar will fund the design and construction of the Developer Phases (Phases I and II) of...
the SPA 2, 10.5 MGD Regional WRF, on the City’s behalf. The preliminary engineering design of 1.2 MGD Developer Phase I-A is currently proceeding. The Phase I-A WRF is expected to be completed and operational by the 3rd Quarter of 2006, assuming the commencement of Phase I-A construction by the 3rd Quarter of 2005.

We would greatly appreciate if you could write a no objection letter to the Maricopa Association of Governments, indicating that the Maricopa County Environmental Services Department has no objection and supports the MAG 208 Amendment as submitted by the City of Surprise. Please send the letter to the attention of Ms. Lindy Bauer, Environmental Program Director.

Please feel free to contact me at 623-873-4290, should you have any questions or need more information.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Rich Williams, Sr.
Water Services Director

cc: Jim Rumpelstist, City Manager
Kathy Roe, Assistant City Manager
Jeff Billie, City of Surprise Attorney
Scott Switzer, Lemon Community Development
Raj Thakur, RT Engineers
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADEQ</td>
<td>Arizona Department of Environmental Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADWR</td>
<td>Arizona Department of Water Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APP</td>
<td>Aquifer Protection Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZPDES</td>
<td>Arizona Pollution Discharge Elimination System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>Central Arizona Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU</td>
<td>Dwelling Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.D.</td>
<td>Equivalent Dwelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAG</td>
<td>Maricopa Association of Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGD</td>
<td>Million gallons per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSDS</td>
<td>Material Safety Data Sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M</td>
<td>Operations and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACE</td>
<td>Pacific Advanced Civil Engineering, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>Nephelometric Turbidity Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS</td>
<td>Return Activated Sludge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAZ</td>
<td>Regional Analysis Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA</td>
<td>Special Planning Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USF</td>
<td>Underground Storage Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAPA</td>
<td>Western Area Power Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRF</td>
<td>Water Reclamation Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWTP</td>
<td>Wastewater Treatment Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement</td>
<td>Summary of How Requirements are Addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AUTHORITY</strong></td>
<td>Proposed Designated Management Agency (DMA) shall self-certify that it has the authorities required by Section 208(c)(2) of the Clean Water Act to implement the plan for its proposed planning and service areas. Self-certification shall be in the form of a legal opinion by the DMA or entity attorney.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20-YEAR NEEDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearly describe the existing wastewater treatment (WWT) facilities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Describe existing WWT facilities.</td>
<td>The temporary Desert Oasis WWTP is being constructed in the City of Surprise SPA 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Show WWT certified service areas for private utilities and sanitary district boundaries, if appropriate.</td>
<td>There are no private utilities or private sanitation districts within SPA 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearly describe alternatives, the recommended WWT plan, and factors that affect discharge:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide POPTAC population estimates (or COG-approved estimates only where POPTAC not available) over 20-year period.</td>
<td>POPTAC estimates that the City of Surprise population in 2010 will be 124,129. The City of Surprise General plan estimates that 149,500 people will be living in the City of Surprise in 2010. The population of SPA 2 will grow to 96,607 at final buildout as identified in the City of Surprise General Plan 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide wastewater flow estimates over the 20-year planning period.</td>
<td>The total 20-year wastewater flow projection for the SPA No. 2 Regional WRF service area is 10.5 MGD, based on a total build-out population of 96,607.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Illustrate the WWT planning and service areas.</td>
<td>The proposed SPA 2 Regional WRF will provide wastewater treatment services for approximately 13,229 acres composing SPA 2. The service area for the proposed SPA 2 Regional WRF is illustrated in Figure 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Describe the type and capacity of the recommended WRF.</td>
<td>The SPA No. 2 Regional WRF will include an activated sludge type biological nutrient removal (BNR) system treated with advanced tertiary treatment compatible with ADEQ Title 18 Class A+ effluent standards. The facility will be equipped with screening, grit removal, biological BOD reduction and nitrification/denitrification, clarification, filtration, and UV disinfection. The facility will also</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Clean Water Act Section 208 Amendment**  
**City of Surprise-SPA 2 Regional WRF**  
(Revised) May, 2005  
#8030

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Summary of How Requirements are Addressed</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Heading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorporate sludge storage, treatment, and processing capability. Odor and noise control and aesthetic measures will also be incorporated into the design in accordance with the Title 18 Arizona Administrative Code. Odor control will be provided on tankage, equipment, and the sludge processing area. The first phase of the SPA 2 Regional WRF will provide 1.2 MGD average daily flow treatment capacity (2.4 MGU peak day and 3.6 MGD peak hour). Phased expansions of the facility will ultimately provide the full-build out capacity estimated not to exceed 10.5 MGD average day flow. This amendment addresses all phases (full-build out) of the SPA 2 facility.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify water quality problems, consider alternative control measures, and recommend solution for implementation.</td>
<td>By complying with ADEQ Title 18 Class A+ effluent standards, no foreseeable water quality issues are anticipated due to the use of SPA No 2's reclaimed water. The effluent water will be of sufficient quality for unrestricted reuse. Also, the total nitrogen and coliform limits for Class A+ effluent are below the applicable water quality standards for groundwater recharge.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>I.C.3.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If private WW* utilities with certificated areas are within the proposed regional service area: define who (municipal or private utility) serves what area and when. Identify whose sewer lines can be approved in what areas when?</td>
<td>The proposed service area does not overlap any current WWTP or WRF service areas. The City of Surprise is sponsoring this amendment in order to reduce the impacts that uncoordinated development may have on the groundwater quality and the City’s existing wastewater treatment systems. Once the treatment facility and sewer lines are completed per the City’s Sewer Master Plan, they will come under the ownership of the city. Additional treatment facility service areas in the neighboring City of Peoria will not be impacted by the City of Surprise SPA 2 Regional WRF.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>I.C.4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Describe method of effluent disposal and reuse sites (if appropriate.)</td>
<td>Effluent from all phases of the SPA 2 Regional WRF is anticipated to be reclaimed for landscape and open space irrigation; excess effluent will be used for groundwater recharge through percolation basins.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>I.C.3.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

viii
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Summary of How Requirements are Addressed</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Heading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Describe other wastewater treatment options that were considered.         | Two alternatives were considered:  
**Alt. #1** – Provide additional sewerage collection and effluent distribution piping and conveyance to transport flows to and from existing or other proposed plants in City of Surprise or in nearby Peoria. Additional treatment infrastructure would likely be necessary to provide for significant increases in loading from the new service area.  
**Alt. #2** – Provide new wastewater collection and treatment facilities within the service area via the SPA 2 Regional WRF – owned and operated by the City of Surprise. Use effluent on-site for reuse and for groundwater recharge. | 2    | I.B.    |
<p>| If Sanitary Districts are within a proposed planning or service area, describe who services the Sanitary Districts and when. | There are no sanitary districts within the proposed service area.                                                                                                                                                                      | 9    | I.C.4.  |
| Describe ownership of land proposed for plant sites and reuse areas.       | The SPA 2 Regional WRF will be located on property that will be owned by Lennar Communities Development, Inc. Following the construction and acceptance of each phase of facility, the City of Surprise will eventually own and operate both Phase IA and Phase IB treatment facilities. Recharge facilities accepting reuse water will be on land transferred to the City of Surprise from Lennar. | 4    | I.C.1.  |
| Address time frames in the development of the treatment works.             | Phase IA of SPA 2 Regional WRF is expected to be substantially complete in 3rd quarter of 2006 assuming commencement of Phase IA construction by the 3rd quarter 2005. The Phase IA facility will have separate                                           | 10   | II.A. Appendix C |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Summary of How Requirements are Addressed</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Heading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address financial constraints in the development of the treatment works.</td>
<td>There are no foreseeable financial constraints associated with the Regional WRFs' design, construction, and operation other than enabling the development to be competitive within the greater Phoenix, AZ market.</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>III.A. Appendix D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe how discharges will comply with EPA municipal and industrial stormwater discharge regulations (Section 405, CWA).</td>
<td>All stormwater generated within the project sites will be detained on-site following completion of construction (zero stormwater discharge will occur from the property of the SPA 2 Regional WRF). During construction, flows will be discharged from the site under an AZPDES temporary construction discharge permit.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>I.C.3.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe how open areas and recreational opportunities will result from improved water quality and how these will be used.</td>
<td>Effluent irrigation provides the ability to grow plants and grass for aesthetics and provide recreation such as golf, soccer, baseball, etc. for residents.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>I.C.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe potential use of lands associated with treatment works and increased access to water-based recreation, if applicable.</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe types of permits needed, including NPDES, APP and reuse</td>
<td>The new WRF will require an Aquifer Protection Permit (APP), a MCESD Non-title V air quality permit, MCESD Annual Operations Permit, MCESD Approval to Construct (ATC), MCESD Approval of Construction (ADC), and potentially ADEQ effluent reuse, ADWR Underground Storage and Recovery, AZPDES permits</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>I.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement</td>
<td>Summary of How Requirements are Addressed</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Heading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Describe restrictions on NPDES permits, if needed, for discharge and sludge disposal.</td>
<td>(dependent on future reuse and/or discharge sites). Possibly an ACOE Clean Water Act 404 permit will be needed if the site construction exists within a water of the U.S. If an AQPDES permit is sought for an alternative effluent discharge location, no unattainable restrictions on the permit are anticipated provided the facility is in compliance with ADEQ Title 18 Class A+ water quality standards. The expected water quality requirements are as follows: Turbidity &lt; 2 NTU (24 hour mean) Turbidity &lt; 5 NTU (any time) Fecal Coliform = none detected (4 of 7 samples) Fecal Coliform &lt; 23 CFU/100mL (any time) Total Nitrogen &lt; 10 mg/L (5 day mean)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>I.C.3.c.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide documentation of communication with ADEQ Permitting Section 30 to 60 days prior to public hearing regarding the need for specific permits.</td>
<td>PERC, Inc., in conjunction with the City of Surprise and Lennar, is in the process of obtaining necessary permits from ADEQ and potentially ADWR for the SPA 2. Attendance at an APP Pre-Application meeting is document in Appendix F. Appendix E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Describe pretreatment requirements and method of adherence to requirements (Section 205 (a)(2)(d), CWA).</td>
<td>No industrial user will be connected to the system (only residential and commercial wastewater). If industrial users are added to the service area of the facility, a pretreatment program will be developed with the industrial user being subject to pretreatment standards as regulated by the EPA.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>I.E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify, if appropriate, specific pollutants that will be produced from excavations and procedures that will protect ground and surface water quality (Section 208(b)(2)(K) and Section 304, CWA).</td>
<td>Nutrient and metal pollutants typically bonded to sediment may be introduced by excavation during construction of the SPA 2. Storm water detention areas consisting of depressions or swales can effectively settle potential increases in suspended solids during construction.</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>II.B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Describe alternatives and recommendations in the disposition of sludge generated (Sections 405, CWA)</td>
<td>Sludge will be stored, treated, and dewatered on-site for the SPA 2 Regional WRF. Biological reactors will</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>I.F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement</td>
<td>Summary of How Requirements are Addressed</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Heading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and 40 CFR 503).</td>
<td>provide processes to significantly reduce pathogens and volatile solids composition. The facility will provide aerated storage, digestion, thickening, and dewatering capabilities. Following the completion of Phase IB, the facility will produce Class B biosolids for the remainder of the facility build-out. Treated and dewatered sludge from Phase IA is anticipated to be landfilled. Class B bio-solids from Phase IB or subsequent phases can be reused for land application or fertilization of non-contact crops.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Define any non-point issues related to the proposed facility and outline procedures to control them.</td>
<td>No non-point discharges are anticipated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Describe process to handle all mining runoff, orphan sites, and underground pollutants, if applicable.</td>
<td>Not applicable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If mining related, define where collection of pollutants has occurred, and what procedures are going to be initiated to contain contaminated areas.</td>
<td>Not applicable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If mining related, define what specialized procedures will be initiated for orphan sites, if applicable.</td>
<td>Not applicable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Define construction priorities and time schedules for initiation and completion.</td>
<td>Construction is expected to be complete with the plant becoming operational by the 3rd quarter of 2006 assuming commencement of Phase IA construction by the 3rd quarter 2005. Near the end of Phase IA construction, the facility will be able to accept flows for vault-and-haul operation to the influent lift station up to 20,000 gallons per day (&lt;70 housing units). Hauling will be provided to another PERC, Inc. operated facility. Following Phase IA Approval of Construction by MCESD, the facility will accept wastewater flows into the main facility provided adequate loadings are available for low-flow processing. As average day flows exceed 70% of the facility’s capacity (e.g. 840,000 gpd in Phase IA), design of subsequent</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>II and Appendix C contains the draft construction schedule</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Summary of How Requirements are Addressed</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Heading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Identify agencies that will construct, operate, and maintain the facilities and otherwise carry out the plan.</td>
<td>PERRC will construct, operate, and maintain the SPA 2 Regional WRF during the start-up and warranty period to be determined by the City. The City of Surprise will oversee all operation and maintenance services of the Regional WRF.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>II.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identify construction activity-related sources of pollution and set forth procedures and methods to control, to the extent feasible, such sources.</td>
<td>The construction of the wastewater treatment plant will not be a significant source of pollution. Anticipated pollution from construction activities includes fugitive dust, construction equipment exhaust emissions, and construction related solid waste. Erosion control measures during construction and grading will be implemented to prevent potential storm water runoff to water bodies. The developer and project contractor shall comply with local and county regulatory requirements and provisions of construction permits issued including dust control permits.</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>II.B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FINANCING AND OTHER MEASURES NECESSARY TO CARRY OUT PLAN

- If plan proposes to take over a certified private utility, describe how and when financing will be managed. Not applicable.                                                                                                                |                                               |       |         |
- Describe any significant measure necessary to carry out the plan (e.g., institutional, financial, economic, etc.)                                                                                                                      | Lennar Community Development, Inc. will finance the design and construction of the Developer’s Phases IA and IB of the SPA No.2 Regional WRF.                                                                 | 11   | III.    |
- Described proposed method(s) of community financing.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Additional phased construction will be financed through development contributions/impact fees, in conjunction with City of Surprise capital improvement bonds and sewer system development fees. | 11   | III.    |
- Provide financial information to assure DMA has financial capability to operate and maintain wastewater system over its useful life.                                                                                                                       | Lennar has provided copies of the 2003 annual financial report. Excerpts of this report including the balance sheet are contained in Appendix D of this document. A complete copy may be obtained upon request. A memo from the City of Surprise stating the | Appendix D |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Summary of How Requirements are Addressed</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Heading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Provide a time line that outlines the period of time necessary for carrying out plan implementation.</td>
<td>Completion of the initial phase (Phase 1A) of the SPA 2 Regional WRF is anticipated to be 3rd quarter 2006 assuming construction commences by 3rd quarter 2005. Subsequent phasing of the facility will be completed as dictated by wastewater generation and the rate of residential and commercial growth. Assuming 100% build-out in the service area, the estimated capacity of the facility is 10.5 MGD in 2022. Appendix D contains Lennar Community Development financial statements, as well as the City of Surprise financial capabilities.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>II.A. Appendix C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide financial information indicating the method and measures necessary to achieve project financing (Section 201 CWA or Section 604 may apply).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Appendix D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IMPLEMENTATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Describe impacts and implementation requirements of the Plan:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Describe impacts on existing WWTFs (e.g., Sanitary district, infrastructure/facilities, and certificated areas).</td>
<td>No existing infrastructure or facilities exist within the SPA 2 service area.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>I.C.4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Describe how and when existing package plants will be connected to a regional system.</td>
<td>Currently no plants exist in the proposed service area which would be connected to the proposed SPA 2 Regional WRF regional system.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Describe the impact on communities and businesses affected by the plan.</td>
<td>There are no anticipated negative impacts to the community or businesses due to this plan. Positive impacts expected for the community and businesses include wastewater collection services, aquifer recharge with potential subsequent indirect reuse, and potential direct reuse.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>I.C.4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If a municipal WWTF system is proposed, describe how WWT service will be provided until the municipal system is completed (i.e., will package plants and septic systems be allowed and under what circumstances, interim services).</td>
<td>Houses will not be occupied prior to the completion and approval of the low-flow portions of the SPA 2 Regional WRF (vault-and-haul operation for flows &lt; 20,000 gpd in the lift station and temporary equipment/controls for flows exceeding 20,000 gpd but less than 120,000 gpd).</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>II.A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Clean Water Act Section 208 Amendment
### City of Surprise-SPA 2 Regional WRF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Summary of How Requirements are Addressed</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Heading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PUBLIC PARTICIPATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submit copy of mailing list used to notify the public of the public</td>
<td>All public notifications will be satisfied through MAG.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hearing on the 208 amendments. (40 CFR, Chapter 1, part 25.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List location where documents are available for review at least 30 days</td>
<td>All public notifications will be satisfied through MAG.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before public hearing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submit copy of the public notice of the public hearing as well as an</td>
<td>All public notifications will be satisfied through MAG.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>official affidavit of publication from the area newspaper. Clearly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>show the announcement appeared in the newspaper at least 45 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before the hearing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submit affidavit of publication for official newspaper publication.</td>
<td>All public notifications will be satisfied through MAG.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submit responsiveness summary for public hearing.</td>
<td>All public notifications will be satisfied through MAG.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>V.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. 20-Year Needs

The Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) is the Designated Planning Agency with the authority under Section 208(a)(2)(B) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) to prepare the Regional Water Quality Management Plan for the Maricopa County Planning Area. The purpose of this application is to request a Clean Water Act Section 208 amendment to the current Regional Water Quality Management Plan to facilitate the inclusion of the City of Surprise SPA No. 2, 10.5 MGD Regional WRF. The requested amendment includes the following:

Amendment Item:
The construction of a new City of Surprise owned and operated 10.5 MGD SPA No.2 Regional Water Reclamation Facility (WRF) in the Northeast 1/4 of Section 18 T4N R1W just outside the City of Surprise. The new facility will reclaim wastewater flows to Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Title 18 Class A+ effluent standards from an approximate 13,229 acre region within Surprise in Regional Analysis Zones (RAZ) 212. The service area for SPA No.2 Regional WRF is in the northern portion of the City of Surprise, north of adjacent US Hwy 60 (See Figure 1). The facility will be operated starting from zero flow with accommodations for temporary low-flow conditions. An Aquifer Protection Permit (APP) and potentially an ADWR Underground Storage and Recovery Permit will be completed as necessary for non-potable reuse and groundwater recharge of effluent from the facility by way of percolation basins. In the event that not all effluent water is reused/ recharged, an AZPDES may be sought to allow an additional point of discharge to the Mc Micken Dam outlet or the Agua Fria River.

Lennar Communities Development, Inc will finance the design and construction of the Phase IA SPA No.2 Regional WRF. Phase IA will have an average day capacity of 1.2 MGD, and subsequent phasing will provide treatment up to 10.5 MGD average day flow at full build-out. Phasing of the facility beyond Phase IA will be dependent on rates of population growth and corresponding wastewater loading increases. To conserve time, Lennar Development has opted for a design/build approach for the initial phase of this facility. Pacific Environmental Resources Corporation, Inc. (PERC) has been selected by Lennar as the design/build/operator. Preliminary engineering design of Phase IA is currently proceeding. The facility is expected to be completed and operational by the 3rd quarter 2006 assuming commencement of Phase IA construction by the 3rd quarter 2005.
Following the commissioning of the facility and startup period, Lennar Development will transfer ownership of the facility along with operation responsibilities to the City of Surprise. After 70% of the Phase IA wastewater flow design capacity has been achieved, Phase IB design will be initiated. Subsequent phases of the facility will also proceed in this manner.

The following sub-sections describe existing wastewater facilities, considered alternatives for wastewater from future developments, the proposed wastewater collection and treatment system, and regulatory requirements for implementation.

A. Description of Existing Wastewater Treatment Facilities

The 13,229 acre SPA No.2 Regional WRF service area is located within RAZ 212 within the City of Surprise. The location of the City of Surprise existing WWTP is illustrated on Figure 2. Proposed and existing facilities in the neighboring community of Peoria are also illustrated. There are no existing sewerage collection systems or treatment facilities within the proposed SPA No.2 service area. Nearby facilities were described in the existing MAG 208 and are summarized below.

The existing South Surprise WWTP is approximately 10 miles from the proposed SPA No.2 Regional WRF and is expected to reach its full capacity of 36 MGD from serving Special Planning Area 1. Its capacity does not include flows from the SPA No.2 service area. Nearby wastewater treatment facilities in Peoria are designed to accommodate wastewater generated at related developments (Jomax WRP, Paddleford WRP, and Quintero WRP) and are not capable of serving the wastewater generated by the SPA No.2 service area. Additionally, the Desert Oasis Development is also located within SPA 2 and is currently constructing a temporary WRF. The temporary WRF will be decommissioned and the development will connect to the SPA 2 Regional WRF once the regional collection system is available.

The Special Planning Area 3 has recently submitted a MAG 208 Amendment to Maricopa County for an ultimate build-out capacity of 30 MGD.

B. Summary of Alternatives

The following two alternatives were considered to evaluate the wastewater treatment from the proposed SPA No.2 WRF service area.

Alternative 1:

Providing wastewater collection and pumping infrastructure to convey flows to existing or proposed facilities in the City of Surprise (SPA No.1 South Surprise WWTP) or in nearby Peoria (Jomax WRP, Paddleford WRF, and Quintero WRF). Increases in flow to the existing facilities would necessitate unplanned expansion and redesign of future phases of treatment works based on loading increases from the 13,299-acre service area.
Alternative 2: Implementing a new wastewater collection system and treatment facility to effectively treat and reuse/recharge wastewater flows from proposed developments within the region.

Alternative 2 (building the new SPA No.2 Regional WRF) was considered more beneficial than Alternative 1 (conveying wastewater and effluent flows to/from existing and other proposed facilities) with respect to cost-effectiveness and water supply management to increase supply for sustainable development. Since a majority of the needed treatment infrastructure and corresponding equipment to accommodate the new service area will need to be constructed at existing or other potential facilities in Alternative 1, negligible savings can be realized by diverting flows to other treatment plants in the area. By retaining wastewater flows within the SPA No.2 region, benefits can be realized from non-potable reuse, such as landscape and open space irrigation, and from groundwater recharge of the underlying aquifers, providing the benefit of recharge credits to the City. In addition, cost savings and supply benefits can be achieved from using reclaimed water within the 13,229 acre service area instead of using existing ground and surface water supplies. Assuming effluent could be returned to the SPA No.2 region using Alternative 1, the cost of implementing effluent distribution systems will be considerably larger in magnitude (piping and pumping capacity) and therefore more costly than building a new facility on-site. In addition, collection system piping and potential pump stations needed to convey wastewater will be considerably smaller, if not non-existent, by building a new facility on-site. By implementing a similar hybrid SBR treatment facility to that of the nearby Sundance, Tartesso (both City of Buckeye) and El Mirage WRFs, operation and maintenance of the proposed SPA No.2 Regional WRF will be familiarized.

C. Description of Proposed Construction of the SPA No.2 Regional WRF

1. Site Location, Property Ownership, and Service Area

The proposed SPA No.2 Regional WRF will be constructed within the limits of the City of Surprise, Arizona. The proposed WRF location is approximately 27 acres and is in the Northeast ¼ of the Northeast ¼ of Section 18 in Township 4N. Range 1W. Future build-out needs of the site are also being evaluated by the City. Appendix A provides a map which illustrates the proposed location of the treatment facility. The SPA No.2 Regional WRF will be constructed on property owned by Lennar. The property will be ultimately transferred to the City of Surprise for the purpose of maintaining and operating the facility. Similarly, any recharge basins constructed will be transferred from Lennar to the City of Surprise following development. The Regional WRF facility will service an estimated 13,229 acres of land within the City of Surprise encompassing SPA No.2.

The location of recharge basins has not been determined at this time. A hydrogeology study is being conducted to determine if recharge is feasible and if so, the best location to site the basins. Significant consideration is being given to areas adjacent to the Regional WRF due to the high percolation potential and the location's proximity to the proposed WRF.
2 Population, Water Supply, and Wastewater Generation Estimates

As stated previously, 13,229 acres in Regional Analysis Zone 212 were used to define the SPA No.2 WRF service area. This boundary was determined by the city of Surprise in advance of the planning being currently undertaken by Lennar. Approximately 1,500 acres of this land is being purchased from the current owners by Lennar and will be used to site the proposed Asante development. The remaining portion of SPA No. 2, once developed, will also be serviced by the SPA No.2 Regional WRF in keeping with the current Surprise General Plan 2020 and the approved Integrated Water Master Plan. Future developments within the SPA No. 2 service area will ultimately be serviced by the Regional WRF in the City’s future phases. Figure 2 illustrates the Regional WRF service area (SPA No. 2) and the Asante development as well as the existing MAG 208 planning boundaries.

There are two sources of population estimates available for the SPA No. 2 service area. City of Surprise planning area population and wastewater flow projections were included in the October, 2002 MAG 208 report (reproduced in Table 1); however, these populations consider the entire City planning area. They are not representative of the population growth to be expected within the SPA No.2 service area itself.

### Table 1

**Surprise Population and Flow Projections**

**MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Update**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Flow (MGD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>36,500</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>89,500</td>
<td>8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>149,900</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>236,900</td>
<td>23.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>315,100</td>
<td>31.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: October, 2002 MAG 208 Water Quality Management Report)

A second source of population estimates is found in the Surprise General Plan 2020. This plan was ratified by public vote on March 13th 2001. It contains a table, (reproduced in Table 2) for the SPA No.2. The table is built on the assumption that each household contains 2.82 persons.
## Table 2
### SPA No.2 Population Projections
### Surprise General Plan 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Build Accrual</th>
<th>DU/acre Mid-range</th>
<th>Total Dwelling Units</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural Residential (0-1 DU/ac.)</td>
<td>4,793</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2,196</td>
<td>6,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Residential (1-3 DU/ac.)</td>
<td>2,747</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5,494</td>
<td>15,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Density Residential (3-6 DU/ac.)</td>
<td>5,203</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>20,860</td>
<td>58,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Density Residential (6-8 DU/ac.)</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5,792</td>
<td>16,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium High Density (8-15 DU/ac.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Density Residential (15-21 DU/ac.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13,279</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34,258</td>
<td>96,607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The population given here is designed to represent the service area population at full build out. This is assumed to occur in or before year 2020, however, no more specific growth related time frames are given.

The Surprise General Plan 2020 information was assumed to be the most accurate for the proposed service area. Thus, the Table 2 population estimates were used to calculate the wastewater generation for the proposed SPA No.2 Regional WRF. Using a conservative wastewater generation rate of 100 gpd/person, it is reasonable to expect that 9.7 MGD of effluent will be produced on average. This value was rounded up to 10.5 MGD in order to provide another level of protection against an overloaded system.

The water supply to serve the proposed Asante development and the SPA No.2 service area is anticipated to be Central Arizona Project (CAP) water and groundwater.

3. Water Reclamation Facility Description

Consistent with treating wastewater flows to ADWQ Title 18 Class A+ effluent standards, the SPA No.2 Regional WRF design will implement multi-stage, redundant treatment mechanisms consisting of physical and biological means. The facility will be equipped with advanced control systems to allow for enhanced operational capabilities and alarming. Back-up power and manual override systems are also incorporated into the design for emergency scenarios.

A treatment schematic for the Phase IA SPA No.2 Regional WRF is included in Appendix 3. The design is an activated sludge process within hybrid sequencing batch reactors (SBRs) for secondary removal of organics and nutrients. The SBRs will be completely enclosed and implement noise and odor control features. As typical with conventional SBRs, the treatment process will utilize anoxic mixing, aerobic mixing, and static reaction capabilities to provide biological oxidation, nitrification, denitrification, and clarification within each reactor tank. The hybrid SBR design includes an additional anoxic pre-reactor which provides flow equalization, denitrification, and biological selection. The design also achieves optimal treatment efficiency and significant ease of
operation. The hybrid mechanism allows for efficient foam and scum removal from the SBR during return activated sludge (RAS) periods. Preceding primary treatment within the facility, screening and grit removal will take place within covered and odor-controlled headworks areas. Following the secondary processes, the facility will utilize tertiary treatment capabilities including a surge basin, filtration, and UV disinfection equipment. To provide process redundancy and obtain a Phase IA average-day capacity of 1.2 MGD, four reactor tanks (two SBR reactors and two anoxic pre-reactors) will be constructed in Phase IA.

Wastewater will be treated to exceed the current ADEQ Title 18 requirements for unrestricted irrigation reuse or recharge. The Phase IA plan for effluent includes reuse for irrigation of common areas, such as public landscapes, public parks, etc. Effluent may be stored in lined lakes and water feature amenities prior to distribution for irrigation. Reclaimed water reuse will be encouraged for non-potable water applications. A Groundwater Savings Facility Permit will be sought for those reuse applications which reduce groundwater withdrawals. Each time when reclaimed water is produced in excess of irrigation demands, water may be discharged to percolation basins adjacent to the facility under ADEQ’s APP and the Arizona Department of Water Resources’ (ADWR) Underground Storage Facility (USF) permit programs. Finally, an additional point of discharge to “waters of the US” will also be sought under an Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (AZPDES) Permit. Water bodies which may be able to receive effluent include the following: McMicken Dam outlet and Agua Fria River.

The SPA No.2 Regional WRF will generate waste sludge which will be directed to an acetate digester reactor for biological conversion for volumetric sludge reduction, pathogen removal, and bio-solids conditioning. The sludge digestion process will ultimately provide pathogen and vector attraction reduction equivalent to the EPA Title 40 CFR 503 regulations for Class B biosolids. However, the Phase IA facility is not expected to obtain Class B biosolids. Biosolids will be dewatered, stored, and hauled to either a landfill for disposal or biosolids reuse areas.

a. Facility Capacity

The SPA No.2 Regional WRF will have an annual average day (MMAD) capacity for Phase IA of 1.2 MGD with modular phased expansions which will treat potentially 10.5 MGD MMAD at full build-out. The phased design of the facility will be dependent on population and development growth rates from the service area. The modular design of the facility will allow efficient implementation of subsequent phases to the SPA No.2 Regional WRF. Both the Developer’s Phases IA and IB and subsequent City phases will be capable of processing peak day and peak hour flows into the facility.

b. Site Description

The proposed location of the SPA No.2 Regional WRF is in the Northeast ¼ of the Northeast ¼ of Section 18 in Township 5N, Range 1W in Surprise, Maricopa County, AZ. The proposed site is undeveloped, uninhabited desert. The site slopes generally to the southeast at an approximate slope of 0.006 and will be
elevated above the 100-year flood plain. The Beardsley canal is located north of the proposed WRF. It runs along a Northeast Southwest directional. The closest paved road to the site at this time is US Hwy 60, which runs northwest/southeast approximately 1 mile from the site of the proposed SPA No.2 Regional WRF. An unpaved access road from US HWY 60 along the Beardsley Canal and the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) 500KV power line corridor will be used for site access.

c. Water Reclamation Requirements

Treated effluent from the SPA No.2 Regional WRF will be reclaimed for non-potable water reuse and potentially storage and recovery via an ADWR permit. In addition, an AZPDES may be sought to allow an alternate discharge point of effluent to a water body of the US. Possible waters include the Agua Fria River and the McMicken Dam Outlet Channel. The Agua Fria, being a naturally occurring water body is expected to have the most stringent water quality requirements. The reach of this water body extending below Lake Pleasant to the El Mirage WWTP outfall would be the most likely outfall location if effluent is to be directed to the river. This stretch maintains the following designated uses as directed by the Arizona Administrative Code, Title 18 Chapter 11:

- A&W (Aquatic and Wildlife ephemeral),
- PBC (Partial Body Contact), and
- Agl. (agricultural Livestock Watering).

The treated effluent will meet ADEQ Title 18, Chapter 11 requirements for Class A+ reclaimed water for unrestricted irrigation of reclaimed effluent, for use of groundwater recharge, and potentially for discharge to the Agua Fria as described above. Class A+ reclaimed water including the following requirements:

1. Wastewater must undergo treatment via the following mechanisms:
   - Secondary treatment, filtration, nitrogen removal, and disinfection
   - Chemical feed capabilities are mandatory to allow coagulation prior to filtration and disinfection to ensure low turbidity (see below)

2. Effluent water quality must conform to the following:
   - Turbidity < 2 NTU (24 hour mean)
   - Turbidity < 5 NTU (any time)
   - Fecal Coliform = none detected (4 of 7 samples)
   - Fecal Coliform < 23 CFU/100mL (any time)
   - Total Nitrogen < 10 mg/L (5 day mean)
d. Stormwater Discharges

The SPA No.2 Regional WRF will be designed to contain all stormwater runoff onsite. Thus, after completion of construction, the facility is not expected to produce stormwater discharges. During construction, an ADEQ permit for construction related stormwater discharges will be sought under the Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (AZPDES) program.

e. Plant Overflow

The SPA No.2 Regional WRF will be designed with full redundancy and safe guards to prevent any overflow of wastewater from the WRF to the Beardsley Canal. However, in the event that the WRF overflows, the overflow will not reach the Beardsley Canal due to the topography of the area south of the canal.

4. Existing Sanitary Districts, Private Utilities, and WRF Service Areas

No negative impact to existing treatment facilities, sanitary districts, or certified service areas are expected due to the commissioning of the SPA No.2 Regional WRF, based on the proposed location. The proposed service area does not overlap any current sanitary districts, WWTP or WRF service areas. The City of Surprise is sponsoring this amendment in order to reduce the impacts that uncoordinated development may have on the groundwater quality and the City’s existing wastewater treatment systems. Additional treatment facility service areas in the neighboring City of Peoria will not be impacted by the SPA No.2 Regional WRF.

D. Permitting Requirements

The SPA No.2 Regional WRF will require the following permits and clearances:

- Aquifer Protection Permit (APP) issued by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) for reclaimed water and sludge disposal
- Maricopa County Environmental Services Division (MCESD) Non-title V Air Quality Permit
- MCESD Approval to Construct (ATC) and Approval of Construction (AOC)
- MCESD Annual Operations Permit
- Archeological and Native Plants clearances through the Arizona State Land Department, and an Environmental Assessment – Phase IA clearance

And Potentially:

- Underground Storage Facility and Recovery Permit by the Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR)
- ADEQ Reclaimed Wastewater Reuse Permit
- ADEQ AZPDES Permit
- ACOE Clean Water Act (CWA) 404 Permit
E. Pretreatment Requirements

The Code of Federal Regulations Part 403 Section 403.8 states, "any POTW with a total design flow of 5 million gallons per day and receiving from industrial users pollutants which pass through or interfere with the operation of the POTW or are otherwise subject to pretreatment standards, will be required to establish a pretreatment program." No industrial users are anticipated to discharge into the proposed SPA No.2 Regional WRF. Thus, the facility is not required to comply with pretreatment requirements. If industrial users are added to the service area of the facility, a pretreatment program will be developed with the industrial user being subject to pretreatment standards as regulated by the EPA.

F. Sludge Management Requirements

The SPA No.2 Regional WRF will be subject to biosolids regulations as promulgated in EPA 40 CFR 503. Sewage sludge, which is produced by the facility, is defined in 40 CFR 503 as any solid, semi-solid, or liquid residue removed during the treatment of municipal wastewater or domestic sewage. Sewage sludge includes, but is not limited to, solids removed during primary, secondary, or wastewater treatment, scum, septage, portable toilet waste, Type III Marine Sanitation device waste, and sewage sludge products. Sewage sludge does not include grit, screening, or ash generated during the incineration sewage. The 40 CFR 503 regulatory requirements include standards for the use and disposal of sludge and consist of general requirements, pollutant limits, management practices and operational standards for the final use or disposal of sewage sludge generated during the treatment of domestic sewage. It also includes pathogen and vector attraction reduction requirements for sewage sludge applied to land or placed in a surface disposal site.

Sludge produced from the proposed Phase IA SPA No.2 Regional WRF will be unclassified due to low SRT and will be dewatered and disposed of in sanitary landfill. Following completion of Phase IB of the SPA No.2 Regional WRF, sludge produced at the facility will conform to the Class B biosolids standard for time and temperature (40 days retention time at 40 degrees C). By meeting the EPA requirements for sludge re-use, the biosolids produced at the facility will be available for use for restricted land application or fertilization of non-contact crops.

II. Construction

A. Construction and Operation Responsibility

Lennar has selected Pacific Environmental Resources Corp, Inc. (PERC) to design/build/operate Phase IA of the SPA No.2 Regional WRF. Construction of Phase IA is anticipated to be completed by 3rd quarter 2006 assuming facility construction is commenced by the 3rd quarter 2005 (the design and permitting phases of the project is anticipated to take approximately 9 months, construction will initiate thereafter). A draft construction schedule for the facility is included in Appendix C. Near the end of Phase IA construction, the facility will be able to accept flows for vault-and-haul operation to the influent lift station up to 20,000 gallons per day (<70 housing units). Hauling will be provided to another PERC operated facility. Following Phase IA Approval of Construction by MCESD, the facility will accept wastewater flows into the main facility provided adequate loadings are available for low-flow processing. As average
day flows exceed 70% of the facility's capacity (e.g. 840,000 GPD in Phase IA), design of subsequent phases will be initiated, followed by construction as necessary.

Following the construction and acceptance of each phase of the facility, the City of Surprise will eventually own and operate both Phase IA and Phase IB treatment facilities. The City of Surprise will thereby be responsible for oversight of operation and maintenance of the facility. PERC will operate the WRF during the start-up and warranty periods as determined by the City.

B. Sources of Pollution

The construction of the wastewater treatment plant will not be a significant source of pollution. Anticipated pollution from construction activities includes fugitive dust, construction equipment exhaust emissions, and construction related solid waste. Erosion control measures during construction and grading will be implemented to prevent potential storm water runoff to water bodies. The developer and project contractor shall comply with local regulatory requirements and provisions of construction permits issued.

III. Financing and Other Actions to Implement Plan

A. Financing Plan

Lennar has made financial plans for the construction and operation of the proposed SPA No.2 Regional WRF. The new facility will be constructed using private, tax exempt, and or developer/development funds. Once ownership of the WRF is transferred to the City of Surprise, the City will finance the operation of the WRF through development contribution, impact fees, and user fees in conjunction with the City of Surprise capital improvement bonds and sewer system development fees.

B. Financing Capability to Construct the Facility

Lennar has the financial capacity to construct and operate the SPA No.2 Regional WRF. Lennar has provided copies of the 2003 annual financial report. Excerpts of this report including the balance sheet are contained in Appendix D of this document. A complete copy may be obtained upon request. In addition, a memo from the City of Surprise stating the city’s financial capability to operate and maintain the WRF is shown in Appendix D.

IV. Impacts and Implementation Plan

A. Implementation Plan

The implementation of construction and operation of the water reclamation facility will be planned and executed by Lennar. Lennar has hired PERC to design/build/operate the SPA No.2 Water Reclamation Facility. PERC is a licensed contractor in the state of Arizona (AZ # 150360). The PERC design/build/operate team includes Arizona licensed engineers and Arizona certified wastewater facility operators. PERC will be contracted by Lennar to operate the SPA 2 WRF during the Start-up period to ensure that the facility will operate as designed.
Once the WRF is turned over to the City of Surprise, the City will have the option for PERC to continue operating the facility or to have Arizona certified City operators take over operation of the facility. Design completion for Phase IA is anticipated to be completed by the 3rd quarter of 2006. A draft schedule of construction for Phase IA is provided in Appendix C.

B. Impacts of the Proposed Water Reclamation Plant

The construction and operation of SPA No.2 Regional WRF is not expected to adversely impact any neighboring municipality, sanitary district, certificated area, community or business. The Regional WRF will provide sewage treatment services for a 13,229 acre area within the City of Surprise, which will encourage residential and business growth.

Potential environmental issues include odor, noise, vectors and hazardous materials. The following briefly discuss and addresses these issues.

Odors: The plant will include odor-scrubbing systems for process equipment, tankage, and sludge processing areas. All process tanks are covered to maintain negative pressure on the odor-control system. All headworks and sludge processing equipment is housed inside buildings. In addition, wastewater and sludge in the SPA No.2 Regional WRF is aerobically treated which reduces ammonia, sulfide, and other odorous producing compounds.

Noise: All process equipment will be enclosed in insulated masonry buildings. Additionally, the aeration blowers will be provided with sound attenuation enclosures. All pumps and aerators will be submersible type and will minimize noise production.

Vectors: The treatment facilities will be properly operated and maintained to reduce vector attraction. Headworks equipment and sludge processing facilities will be covered and enclosed in building structures.

Hazardous Materials: The wastewater treatment facility will not accept any hazardous materials. Only municipal sewage from the SPA No.2 service area will be accepted. An emergency plan will be developed to isolate and contain any hazardous materials discovered. The proposed treatment system does not require the use of any hazardous materials beyond the use of activated carbon contained within the odor control system, polymers for sludge dewatering and diesel fuel for the back-up power generator. A current set of Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) will be maintained for all chemicals, polymers, and bio-augmentation products used at the facility.

V. Public Participation

As part of the MAG Water Quality Management Plan Amendment Process, the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) with cooperation of the City of Surprise is responsible for ensuring that the following actions are implemented after submittal of the draft 208 Amendment:
• Notify all parties of a public hearing on the 208 Amendment by sending notices to interested parties at least 30 days prior to the public hearing. The notice may include the date, time, subject and location of the public hearing for the 208 Amendment.

• Notify public at least 45 days in advance of the public hearing by advertising in a publication. The notice should include the date, time, subject and location of the public hearing for the 208 Amendment.

• Notify public that draft amendments are available for public viewing 30 days before the hearing. This may include the location, days, and time of availability.

• Submittal of an affidavit of publication of the public notice.

• Submittal of a responsiveness summary for the public hearing.
Appendix A
Site Map and City of Surprise MPA Map
SPA 2 Water Reclamation Facility
Phase IA
Design Calculations
Duong Do, P.E.
March, 2004

Calculation Methods Adapted from:
H. David Stensel, Ph.D., P.E.
University of Washington

DESIGN CONDITION

Flow:

- Average: 1.2 Mgal/d
- Peak Day: 2.4 Mgal/d
- Peak Hour: 3.6 Mgal/d

Wastewater Parameters:

- BOD5: 300 mg/L
- TSS: 300 mg/L
- TKN: 40 mg/L

Plant Design Load:

- BODs: 3,003 lb/d
- TKN: 400 lb/d
- Water Temp.: 25°C
- Ambient Air Temp.: 38°C

Effluent Parameters:

- BOD5: < 5.0 mg/L
- TSS: < 5.0 mg/L
- TN: < 10.0 mg/L
- Turbidity: < 2 NTU
- Coliform (FCU/100 ml.): non-detect

PROCESS OPERATION (TWO AEROBIC SBR TANKS)

Operation description:
A sequencing batch reactor (SBR) is operated with alternating cycles, or sequences of fill, interact, react, settle, decant, and idle. The hybrid PERC-ASP SBR system for Phase I of the SPA 2 WRF includes two SBRs with pre-SBR anoxic reactors which provide enhanced flow equalization and denitrification capability. Under low-loading conditions, only one anoxic reactor is utilized (1,100 ft² area); however, following increases in loading, a sluice gate connecting the two anoxic tanks is opened to create one large anoxic tank (2,200 ft² area). The anoxic reactor is continuously fed raw primary wastewater and return activated sludge from the
two aerobic SBRs. When an SBR cycle starts the aerobic tank receives an initial input from an anoxic tank (i.e. “fill”) equivalent to approximately ¼ of the SBR tank volume. Mixed liquor combined with raw influent from the anoxic reactor is pumped to the aerobic tank at a higher rate than the influent feed rate. Thus, the anoxic volume is drawn down during fill. Following the fill cycle, the SBR water level is at the top of the tank and interact phase is initiated. In the interact phase, mixed liquor and influent from the anoxic reactor continue to be transferred to the SBR. With the SBR full, activated sludge flows back to the anoxic tank via the RAS weir. The overflow from the aerobic SBR tank feeds NO₂-N to the anoxic tank, which was produced by aerobic nitrification of NH₄-N. During interact the anoxic reactor again begins to fill. Once the anoxic reactor level reaches a pre-determined set-point, the SBR stops interact and initiates settle, followed by decant and idle to complete its cycle. At the point when the first SBR goes to settle, the other SBR starts a new cycle by initiating fill.

The following tables show the time sequence and volume changes during a complete cycle for each aerobic SBR tank.

1. Cycle Times Per Aerobic Tank – From Table 8.0:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>39 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interact</td>
<td>132 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settle</td>
<td>45 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decant</td>
<td>42 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle</td>
<td>84 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Time</td>
<td>342 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of cycles/day/tank = 1440 min/day / 342 min/cycle = 4.2 cycles/day

@ 2 tanks = 8.4 fills/day

2. Fill volume at average design load:

Average volume/fill = 1.2 MGD / 8.4 fills = 142,857 gal/fill

Fill Volume = Aerobic Tank Decant Volume
Decant volume = 142,857 gallons

Aerobic Tank Area = 38.5 ft x 78.5 ft = 3,022 ft²

3. Determine Decant depth:

Decant Depth (ft) = (Decant Volume, ft³) / (Aerobic tank area ft²)
= (142,857 gal / 7.48 gal/ft³) / (3,022 ft²)

Decant Depth (ft) = 19.099 ft³ / (3,022 ft²)

Decant Depth = 6.3 ft
4. Determine change in anoxic depth at design flow (using both anoxic tanks):

Assume:
Total surface area of Anoxic Tank = 2 \times (38.5' \times 28.5') = 2,195 \text{ ft}^2
Average day flow of 1.2 MGD = 833 gpm into Anoxic Tank

Average Flow out of Anoxic Tanks = 3650 gpm\(^*\) (using one pump)
\(\text{Actual flow out of Anoxic Tanks} = 3650 \text{ gpm} - 833 \text{ gpm} = 2818 \text{ gpm}\)

\(\text{SBR fill time} = 142,857 \text{ gallons} / 3650 \text{ gpm} = 39 \text{ min.}\)

Therefore: Volume change in anoxic tanks = 2818 gpm x 39 min = 109,902 gallons
Calculated depth change at the end of Fill for 1.2 MGD = (109,902 gal / 7.48) / (2195 ft\(^2\)) = 6.7 ft

5. The following shows the changes in anoxic volume and the cycle operation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\Delta t) min</th>
<th>Total Time (min)</th>
<th>Anoxic Volume</th>
<th>Aerobic 1 Volume/Condition</th>
<th>Aerobic 2 Volume/Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Top Water</td>
<td>Bottom Water</td>
<td>Top Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Bottom Water</td>
<td>Start Fill #1</td>
<td>Stop Idle/Start Fill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Draining</td>
<td>Top Water</td>
<td>Start Interact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+42</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Filling</td>
<td>Start Interact</td>
<td>Top Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+84</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>Top Water</td>
<td>Start Settle</td>
<td>Start Decant/Start Idle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+39</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Bottom Water</td>
<td>Start Fill #2</td>
<td>Stop Idle/Start Fill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+6</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>Draining</td>
<td>Top Water</td>
<td>Settling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+42</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>Filling</td>
<td>Stop Settle</td>
<td>Top Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+34</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>Top Water</td>
<td>Stop Decant/Start Idle</td>
<td>Settling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Start Fill #1</td>
<td>Bottom Water</td>
<td>Top Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{At 1.2 MGD, Flow} = 833 \text{ gpm}\)
AEROBIC TANK NITRIFICATION DESIGN

Average Daily Load To Each Aerobic SBR Tank:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BOD} & = 3,003 \text{ lb/d} + 2 = 1,502 \text{ lb/d/t} \\
\text{TKN} & = 400 \text{ lb/d} + 2 = 200 \text{ lb/d/t}
\end{align*}
\]

SBR Tank Volume at Full

\[
\begin{align*}
&= (23 \text{ ft Depth}) \times 302.2 \text{ ft}^2 \\
&= 69,513 \text{ ft}^3 \\
&= 519,956 \text{ gallons}
\end{align*}
\]

1. Determine equivalent hydraulic retention times

Equivalent Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT):

\[\text{HRT} = \frac{519,956 \text{ gal}}{(1.2 \text{ MGD / 2 tanks}) \times 24 \text{ hrs}} = 20.8 \text{ hrs}\]

Equivalent Anoxic HRT

Maximum Depth = 23 ft
Normal Max. Depth : 23 - 7.0 = 16.0 ft (volume of full batch avail.)
Anoxic tank depth (Average): \(= 16.0 \text{ ft} - (6.7 / 2) = 12.7 \text{ ft}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Anoxic Volume} & = (12.7 \text{ ft}) \times (2195 \text{ ft}^3) \\
&= 27,870 \text{ ft}^3 \\
&= 208,468 \text{ gallons}
\end{align*}
\]

\[\text{HRT} = \frac{208,468 \text{ gal}}{(1.2 \text{ MGD / 1.2 MGD}) \times 24 \text{ hrs}} = 4.2 \text{ hrs}\]

2. Determine Aerobic Tank SRT

Assume that MLSS = 3500 mg/L – typical of SBR operations

Check for sufficient depth in aerobic reactor during settling to handle a MLSS of 3500 mg/L, based on typical SVI achieved:

Assume SVI = 120 mg/L

Thickened MLSS during settling = \(\frac{10^6}{5\text{VI}} = 8333 \text{ mg/L}\)

MLSS mass full = MLSS mass in settled volume

\[23 \text{ ft (3500 mg/L)} = \text{sludge depth (8333 mg/L)}\]

Studge depth = 9.7 ft.
Liquid level above sludge depth after settling: 23 ft – 9.7 ft = 13.3 ft

Decant depth = 6.3 ft, so liquid depth below decanter is 13.3 – 6.3 ft = 7.0 ft
So sufficient depth in settle and decant period to handle MLSS of 3500 mg/L.

To determine system SRT, a solids balance is needed accounting for solids yield and BOD removal. The following is a standard equation for solids yield that accounts for biomass production and inert solids that enter with wastewater and are not degraded and accumulate in the system:

Net Solids Yield: \[ \frac{Y}{1 + bSRT} + Y_i = Y_N \]

- \( Y \) = g VSS/g BOD removal = 0.60 g/g
- \( B \) = 0.08 g/g-d
- \( SRT \) = Solids retention time, days
- \( Y_i \) = g non-biodegradable solids/g BOD = 0.50 g/g

\[ V(MLSS) = Y_N (\Delta BOD) Q (SRT) \]
\[ Q = 0.6 \text{ Mgal/d/tank} \]
\[ \Delta BOD = 300 \text{ mg/L} \]
\[ V = 0.520 \text{ Mgal} \]
\[ Y_N = \left( \frac{0.6}{1 + 0.08 SRT} + 0.50 \right) \]
\[ MLSS = 3500 \text{ mg/L} \]

Solve for SRT

Use spreadsheet:

\[ (3500) (0.520) = \left( \frac{0.5}{1 + 0.08 SRT} + 0.50 \right) (300) (0.6) SRT \]

SKT = 12.7 days

3. What is the net solids yield?

\[ Y_N = (0.60 / (1 + 0.08 \times 12.7)) + 0.50 = 0.80 \text{ gTSS/g BOD} \]
4. Determine the aerobic SRT, which accounts for the time that the mixed liquor is under aeration (accounts for fraction of aeration time per cycle):

Design for 10 hrs/day/tank,

\[ \text{Aeration Fraction} = \frac{10 \text{ hours aeration}}{24 \text{ per day}} = 0.417 \text{ hours aeration/day/tank} \]

\[ \frac{\text{Aerobic SRT \@ 3500 mg/L MLSS}}{\text{0.417 (12.7 d)}} = 5.3 \text{ days} \]

5. Determine if this aerobic SRT is sufficient to result in satisfactory nitrification and maintain concentrations of nitrifiers in the system (i.e. the minimum nitrifier growth rate must exceed the wasting rate) – the design goal is to achieve is NH4-N concentration less than 0.5 mg/L.

Because of recirculation through the anoxic tank with continuous feeding, the aerobic SBR tank can be considered equivalent to a continuously stirred tank reactor (CSTR). Thus, we can consider that the minimum nitrifying bacteria growth rate (i.e. growth rate is minimized at the lowest substrate concentration) is related to the aerobic SRT as follows. From this we can determine the NH4-N concentration expected in the SBR related to the nitrifier growth rate and sludge wasting rate (i.e. SRT).

\[ \frac{1}{\text{SRT}} = \mu = \frac{\mu_{MN}}{K_N + N} \]

Nitrification Monod Kinetics

where:

- \( \mu \) = specific growth rate of nitrifiers, g/g-d
- \( N \) = NH4-N Conc., mg/L
- \( \mu_{MN} \) = Maximum specific growth rate, 0.72 g/g-d @ 25\(^{\circ}\) C
- \( K_N \) = 0.75 mg/L

(EPA Nitrogen Control Manual, 1993)

From above the aerobic SRT = 5.3 days

\[ \mu = 1 / \text{SRT} = 1/5.3 = 0.189 \]
\[ 0.189 = 0.72 \times N / (0.75 + N) \]
\[ N = \text{NH4-N} = 0.27 \text{ mg/L} \]

**Sufficient capacity for complete nitrification**

Check safety factor for NH4-N = 0.50 mg/L treatment goal.

\[ \frac{1}{\text{SRT}} = \mu = \frac{(0.72)(0.50)}{(0.75 + 0.50)} = 0.28 / \text{day} \]

\[ \text{SRT} = 1 / 0.28 = 3.5 \text{ days} \]

Safety factor = 5.3/3.5 = 1.5 (ok/day)
PERFORM NITROGEN BALANCE TO GET AMOUNT OF N OXIDIZED

Nitrogen \( N \) = nitrogen for synthesis + effluent \( N \) + N oxidized to nitrate

Nitrogen for synthesis: \( \text{SRT} = 12.7 \text{ days} @ 3500 \text{ mg MLSS} \)

\[
\text{Biomass Yield} = \frac{Y}{(1 + b \times \text{SRT})} = 0.72 / (1 + 0.08 \times 12.7) = 0.36 \text{ g VSS/g BOD}
\]

\[
\text{Biomass Produced} = (0.36 \text{ g VSS/g BOD}) \times (300 \text{ mg/L} - 5 \text{ mg/L BOD}) = 106.2 \text{ mg/L}
\]

\( @ 10\% \) nitrogen, \( N \) synthesis = 0.10 (106.2) = 10.6 mg/L.

Assume 30\% \( N \) for synthesis is returned/recycled during digestion/dewatering of sludge:

\[
10.6 \text{ mg/L} - (0.3 \times 10.6 \text{ mg/L}) = 7.4 \text{ mg/L}
\]

Nitrate Produced:

\[
\text{TKN} - \text{Nsyn} - \text{NH}_4-N = \text{NO}_3-N
\]

\[
40 - 7.4 - 0.5 = \text{NO}_3-N
\]

\[
\text{NO}_3-N = 32.1 \text{ mg/L}
\]

\[
\text{NO}_3-N \text{ Produced Per Feed Cycle:}
\]

\[
= 32.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.143 \text{ Mgal/cycle}) \times 8.34 = 38.3 \text{ lb/cycle}
\]

EVALUATE NITROGEN REMOVAL CAPACITY

1. Determine NO3-N balance:

Since the reactor approaches a complete mix operation with the internal recycle, we can assume a relatively constant NO3-N concentration in the aerobic reactor. The nitrate produced has to be accounted for as follows:

\[
\text{NO}_3-N \text{ produced} = (38.3 \text{ lb/cycle})
\]

\[
= \Delta_n - \text{NO}_3-N \text{ removed in aerobic reactor (during settle and decant)}
\]

\[
+ \rho_n \delta - \text{NO}_3-N \text{ removed in aerobic reactor (during anoxic mix)}
\]

\[
+ \chi_n \delta - \text{NO}_3-N \text{ allowed in effluent discharge} (< 9.5 \text{ mg/l})
\]

\[
+ \rho_n \delta - \text{NO}_3-N \text{ removed in overflow to anoxic reactor (during interact)}
\]
A. Aerobic reactor nitrate loss (denitrification) will occur in the mixed liquor during the decant, settle, and idle periods when oxygen is depleted.

Use SDNR for endogenous respiration per the following reference:
Biological Nutrient Removal, Randell, Barnard, and Stensel, Technomics, 1992

\[
\text{SDNR} = \left(\frac{0.50}{2.86}\right) \left(\frac{\text{An}}{\text{Yn}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\text{SRT}}\right)
\]

\[
\text{An} = 1.5 - 1.42Y + \frac{1.42bSRT}{1+bSRT}
\]

\[
\text{Yn} = \frac{Y}{1+bSRT} + Y_f
\]

\[
\text{An} = 1.5 - (1.42 \times 0.6) + ((1.42)(0.08)(0.6)(5.3) / (1 + (0.08)(5.3))) = 0.90
\]

\[
\text{Yn} = (0.6 / (1 + (0.08)(5.3))) + 0.50 = 0.92
\]

SDNR = (0.5/2.86) * (0.90/0.92) * (1/12.7) = 0.013 g/g-d

Removed = SDNR(V)(MLSS)(8.34)(time),

\[
\text{Time} = \text{45 min (Settle)} + \text{42 min (Decant)} + \text{84 min (Idle)} = \text{171 min (Total)}
\]

\[
= (0.01)(0.520)(3580)(8.34)(171)/60/24
\]

\[
= 18.0 \text{ lb/cycle}
\]
Denitrification occurs in the SBR during anoxic mix throughout the cycle of interact when air is periodically turned off. The SDNR during this cycle can be calculated below:

\[
\text{NO}_2-N \text{ removal} = \frac{(\text{Volume}) \cdot (\text{MLSS}) \cdot (\text{SDNR}) \cdot \Delta t}{\text{cycle}} \quad (8.34)
\]

Average SBR Volume = 0.520 Mgal

\[\Delta t = 30 \text{ min} = 0.021 \text{ days/cycle}\]

NO\textsubscript{2}-N removed:

\[= (0.01)(0.520)(3500)(8.34)(0.021) = \textbf{3.2 lb/cycle}\]

\[C_{NO3} - \text{NO3-N allowed to be in the effluent is < 9.5 mg/L;}\]

Assume 5 mg/L of NO3-N is discharge;

\[\text{Removed} = (5 \text{ mg/L}) \cdot (0.143) \cdot (8.34)\]

\[= \textbf{5.9 lbs/cycle}\]

\[D_{NO} - \text{Determine how much NO3-N must be removed in anoxic zone:}\]

NO3-N to be removed on anoxic zone = 38.3 lb/cycle – 18.0 – 3.2 – 5.9

\[= \textbf{11.2 lb/cycle}\]
D. (continued) Determine amount of nitrate fed to anoxic reactor:

To evaluate the nitrate removal capacity we have to determine the amount of nitrate that flows from the aerobic reactor to the anoxic tank and use the SDNR to determine if it can be sufficiently reduced.

Nitrate return feed rate to anoxic zone (using only one fill pump):
Flow to anoxic = 3850 gpm (132 min) = 506,200 gallons/cycle
Average initial nitrate concentration in the recycle flow:
NO3-N (mg/L) = ((38.3 lbs N / 0.520 Mgal) / 8.34) = 8.8 mg/L
Assume 60% of this concentration = 5 mg/L

At 5 mg/L NO3-N = 5 * (0.508) * (8.34) = 21.2 lb/cycle

As 21.2 lb/cycle > 11.2 lb/cycle, the system is not limited by recycle rate

Determine NO3-N removal capacity of anoxic reactor:

Specific Denitrification Rate in the Anoxic Reactor can be related to BOD F/M Ratio. (EPA Nitrogen Control Manual)

SDNR = 0.03 F/M + 0.029
SDNR = Specific NO3-N reduction rate, g NO3-N / g MLSS-d
F/M = g BOD / g MLSS-d

F/M = 1.2 Mgal/d x 300 mg/L BOD
3500 mg/L x 0.208 Mgal (average depth)
F/M = 0.44 g/g-d

SDNR = 0.044 g/g-d

The SDNR ≥ 0.044 g NO3-N/g MLSS-d

NO3-N removal = (Volume) (MLSS) (SDNR) \( \frac{\Delta t}{cycle} \) (8.34)

Average Anoxic Volume = 0.208 Mgal

\( \Delta t = 132 \text{ min} = 2.2 \text{ hours} = 0.09 \text{ days/cycle} \)

NO3-N removed = (0.208) (3500) (0.044) (0.09) (8.34)

= 24.0 lb/cycle

= 24.0 lb/cycle > 11.2 lb/cycle required

Therefore, sufficient capacity in anoxic is available to remove the necessary amount of NO3-N
DETERMINE OXYGEN REQUIRED

Flow/Tank = 0.6 MGD
BOD = 300 mg/L
NO3-N Produced = 32.1 mg/L
Effluent TN = < 5 mg/L

Oxygen for BOD Removal:

\[ O_2 = 1.5 \frac{P_X}{g_{BOD}}(BOD)Q - 1.42P_X \]

\[ P_X = \text{Biomass sludge wasted/day} \]

Biomass yield = 0.37 gVSS/gBOD

\[ P_X = \frac{0.37 \ g/g \ x \ 300 \ mg/L \ x \ 0.6 \ MGD \ x \ 8.34}{555.4 \ lb/d} \]

\[ \text{Lbs O}_2/\text{day} = 1.5 \ g \ O_2 / g \ BOD \ x \ (300 \ mg/L - 5 \ mg/L) \ x \ 0.6 \ MGD \ x \ 8.34 - 1.42 \ x \ 555.4 \ lb/d \]

\[ = 1425.6 \ lb \ O_2/\text{day} \]

Max Aeration Time Available

= 39 min (fill) + (132 min - 30 min anoxic mix) (interact) + 84 min (idle) / 342 (cycle) = 0.66

0.66 (24 hr/d) = 15.8 hrs/day available aeration

Design Aeration Time = 10.0 hrs/day

Lbs O2 / hr aeration = 1425.6 lb/hr / 10.0 hrs/d = 142.6 lb O2/hr (AOR)

Nitrification O2:

NO3-N produced = 32.1 mg/L

\[ O_2 = 4.3 \ g \ O_2 / g \ N \ x \ (32.1 \ mg/L - 5 \ mg/L) \ x \ 0.6 \ MGD \ x \ 8.34 \]

Lbs O2 / hr aeration = 583 lbs/hr / 10.0 hrs/d = 58.3 lbs O2/hr (AOR)
**Denitrification O₂ Credit:**

\[ \text{NO}_3^- - \text{N reduced} = 27.1 \text{ mg/L (accounts for 5 mg/L in effluent)} \]

\[ \text{O}_2 \text{ credit} = 2.86(27.1)(0.6) = 8.34 \]

\[ \text{Lbs O}_2 / \text{hr aeration} = 387.8 / 10.0 = 38.7 \text{ lbs/hr (AOR)} \]

**Net O₂ Require:**

\[ \text{Net O}_2 \text{ req'd/Task} = 142.6 \text{ (BOD)} + 58.3 \text{ (Nitro)} - 38.7 \text{ (De-nitro)} \]

\[ = 162.2 \text{ lbsO}_2/\text{hr} \]

\[ \text{AOR} = 162.2 \text{ lbsO}_2/\text{hr} \]

**Determine Clean Water Transfer Rate**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SOR} &= \frac{\text{AOR} \times (\text{C}_{\text{SatN}})}{\alpha (\text{B}_c - \text{DO} (1.024^{c-0}))} \\
\text{C}_{\text{SatN}} &= (\text{C}_{\text{Sat}}) \times (0.5) \times \left[ \frac{P_a}{P_{\text{Amb}}} + \frac{O}{21} \right] \\
\text{C}_{\text{SatO}_2} &= (\text{C}_{\text{Sat}}) \times (0.5) \times \left[ \frac{P_a}{P_{\text{Amb}}} + \frac{O}{21} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \text{SOR} = \text{standard oxygen transfer rate} \]

\[ \alpha = 0.6 \quad \beta = 0.95 \]

\[ T = 25^\circ \text{C} \quad \text{C}_{\text{Sat}} = 7.96 \text{ mg/L (at 1000 ft Elevation)} \]

\[ \text{DO} = 2.0 \text{ mg/L} \quad \text{C}_{\text{SatDO}_2} = 9.08 \text{ mg/L} \]

\[ P_a = 24.15 \text{ psi} \quad P_{\text{Amb}} = 14.17 \text{ psi} \quad \text{Please note: } P_a = \text{depth + atmospheric pressure} \]

\[ O_i = 18\% \]

\[ \text{C}_{\text{SatN}} = (7.96)(0.5) \times \left[ \frac{24.15}{14.17} + \frac{18}{21} \right] = 0.19 \text{ mg/L} \]

\[ \text{C}_{\text{SatO}_2} = (9.08)(0.5) \times \left[ \frac{24.64}{14.69} + \frac{18}{21} \right] = 11.5 \text{ mg/L} \]
\[
\text{SOR} = \frac{\text{AOR} \times C_{\text{req}}}{\text{BC}_{\text{set}} - \text{DO} \times (1.024^{0.5})}
\]

\[
\text{SOR} = \frac{162.2 \times (11.5)}{0.6 \times (0.95 \times (10.19 - 2)) \times 1.024^{0.5}}
\]

\[
\text{SOR} = 359.5 \text{ lbs/hr}
\]

Determine air rate @ 41.2% O₂ transfer efficiency (From Sanitaire)

Blower CFM = SOR / (60 min/hr x Transfer Eff. x 0.0172 lbO₂/ft³)

Blower CFM = 359.5 / (60 x 0.412 x 0.0172)

Blower CFM = **845 SCFM @ 10.0 hours**

Each SBR requires one blower per tank with rated capacity of 845 scfm @ 10.4 psi using 10.0 hours aeration to provide design capacity. Provide (3) three blowers at capacity of **1000 SCFM at 10.4 psi** to provide additional capacity for peak loads – two per tank with one redundant. Using a 1.3 peaking factor, 845 scfm x 1.3 = 1100 scfm @ 10.0 hrs or 1000 scfm @ 11 hrs (<15.8 hrs max available).
PROCESS OPERATION (ONE AEROBIC TANK)

Operation description:
When either the facility is performing maintenance or under an emergency scenario where one SBR tank is removed from service, the treatment process will be single SBR tank operation. Under the single-tank mode, the anoxic reactor is fed raw influent wastewater from the headworks similar to two-tank operation; however, in single tank mode activated sludge is returned from only one SBR and the anoxic reactor is idle during SBR settle and decant. When the single-tank SBR cycle starts, the SBR receives an initial input from the anoxic tank (i.e. "fill") equivalent to approximately ¼ of the SBR tank volume. Mixed liquor combined with raw influent from the anoxic reactor is pumped to the aerobic tank at a higher rate than the influent feed rate. Thus, the anoxic volume is drawn down during fill. Following the fill cycle, the SBR water level is at the top of the tank and interact phase is initiated. In the interact phase mixed liquor and influent from the anoxic reactor is continued to be transferred to the SBR, but the SBR is full and overflows return activated sludge back to the anoxic tank via the RAS weir. The overflow from the aerobic SBR tank feeds NO₃-N to the anoxic tank, which was produced by aerobic nitrification of NH₃-N. During interact the anoxic reactor again begins to fill. Once the anoxic reactor level reaches a pre-determined set-point, the SBR initiates settle and decant to complete its cycle. The anoxic reactor idles until the SBR completes decant and is able to accept fill again to begin a new cycle.

1. Cycle Times for Single SBR Tank:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fill (using two pumps)</td>
<td>18 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interact</td>
<td>66 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settle</td>
<td>45 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decant</td>
<td>42 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Time</td>
<td>171 min/cycle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of cycles/day/tank = 1440 min/day / 171 min/cycle
= 8.4 cycles/day

2. Fill volume at average design load:
Average volume/fill = 1.2 MGD / 8.4 fills
= 142,857 gal/fill

Fill Volume = Aerobic Tank Decant Volume
Decant volume = 142,857 gallons

SBR Tank Area = 38.5 ft x 78.5 ft
= 3,022 ft²
Determine equivalent hydraulic retention times

Equivalent Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT):

\[ HRT = \frac{519,956 \text{ gal}}{1.2 \text{ MGD} \times 24 \text{ hrs}} = 10.4 \text{ Hrs} \]

Determine change in anoxic depth at design flow:

Assume:
- Total surface area of Anoxic Tanks = 2195 ft²
- Average day flow of 1.2 MGD = 833 gpm into Anoxic Tanks
- Pumping out of Anoxic Tanks (two pumps) = 7840 gpm
- Actual flow out of Anoxic Tanks = 7940 gpm - 833 gpm = 7107 gpm

\[ ^{a} \text{pumping rate of 3970 gpm per pump assumed for 17' TDH} \]

SBR fill time = 18 min.

Therefore: Volume change during Fill in anoxic tanks = 7107 gpm x 18 min = 127,926 gallons
Volume change (surge) during Settle and Decant = (45 + 42) x 833 gpm = 72,471 gallons

Increase in anoxic depth at 1.2 MGD during settle/decant in single-tank mode

\[ = (71,638 \text{ gal} / 7.48 \times 2195 \text{ ft}^2) = 4.4 \text{ ft} \]

Calculated depth change at the end of Fill = (127,926) / (2195 x 7.48) = 7.8 ft

Equivalent Anoxic HRT
- Tank depth = 23 - 6.6 = 16.4 ft (6% batch reserved)
- Average Tank Depth = 16.4 + 4.4 (during settle and decant) - (7.8/2)
  \[ = 16.9 \text{ ft} \]
- Anoxic Volume
  \[ = (16.9 \text{ ft}) \times (2195 \text{ ft}^2) \]
  \[ = 37,096 \text{ ft}^3 \]
  \[ = 277,474 \text{ gallons} \]

\[ HRT = \frac{277,474 \text{ gal}}{1.2 \text{ MGD} \times 24 \text{ hrs}} = 5.5 \text{ hrs} \]

2. Determine Aerobic Tank SRT

Assume that MLSS has been increased to 4500 mg/L for single tank operation

Check for sufficient depth in aerobic reactor during settling to handle a MLSS of 4500 mg/L, based on typical SVI achieved:

\[ \text{Assume SVI} = 120 \text{ mg/L} \]

Thickened MLSS during settling = \[ \frac{10^6}{\text{SVI}} \]

\[ = 8333 \text{ mg/L} \]
MLSS mass full = MLSS mass in settled volume
23 ft (4500 mg/L) = sludge depth (8333 mg/L)
Sludge depth = 12.4 ft.

Liquid level above sludge depth after settling: 23 ft - 12.4 ft = 10.6 ft

Decant depth = 6.3 ft, so liquid depth below decanter is 10.6 - 6.3 ft = 4.3 ft
So sufficient depth in settle and decant period to handle MLSS of 4500 mg/L

To determine system SRT a solids balance is needed accounting for solids yield and BOD removal. The following is a standard equation for solids yield that accounts of biomass production and inert solids that enter with wastewater and are not degraded and accumulate in the system:

Net Solids Yield: \[
\frac{Y}{1 + b \times \text{SRT}} + Y_I = Y_N
\]

\[
Y = \text{g VSS/g BOD removal} = 0.60 \text{ g/g}
\]
\[
B = 0.08 \text{ g/g-d}
\]
\[
\text{SRT} = \text{solids retention time, days}
\]
\[
Y_I = \text{g inert solids / g BOD} = 0.50 \text{ g/g}
\]

\[
V(\text{MLSS}) = Y_N (\Delta \text{BOD}) Q (\text{SRT})
\]
\[
Q = 1.2 \text{ Mgal/d}
\]
\[
\Delta \text{BOD} = 300 \text{ mg/L}
\]
\[
V = 0.520 \text{ Mgal}
\]
\[
Y_N = \left( \frac{0.6}{1 + 0.08 \times \text{SRT}} + 0.50 \right)
\]
\[
\text{MLSS} = 4500 \text{ mg/L}
\]

Solve for SRT
Use spreadsheet:

\[
(4500) (0.520) = \left( \frac{0.6}{1 + 0.08 \times \text{SRT}} + 0.50 \right) (300) (0.520) \text{ SRT}
\]

SRT = 7.4 days

3. What is the net solids yield?

\[
Y_N = \frac{0.60}{1 + 0.08 \times 7.4} + 0.5 = 0.88 \text{ g TSS/g BOD}
\]

4. Determine the aerobic SRT, which accounts for the time that the mixed liquor is under aeration:
Aerobic SRT accounts for fraction of Aeration Time
Note: Unlike two-tank operation, at design flow and loading single-tank operation will require aeration during fill period and during the entire interact period.

Aeration Time Fraction = 18 min (fill) + 66 min (interact) - 0 min (anoxic mix) / 171 min (total cycle) = 0.50

Aerobic SRT @ 4500 mg/L MLSS = 0.50 (7.4 d) = 3.7 days

5. Determine if this aerobic SRT is sufficient to result in satisfactory nitrification and maintain concentrations of nitrifiers in the system (i.e., the minimum nitrifier growth rate must exceed the wasting rate) – the design goal for single-tank mode is to achieve is NH4-N less than 2.0 mg/L.

Because of recirculation through the anoxic tank with continuous feeding, the aerobic SBR tank can be considered equivalent to a continuously stirred tank reactor (CSTR). Thus, we can consider that the minimum nitrifying bacteria growth rate (i.e., growth rate is minimized at the lowest substrate concentration) is related to the aerobic SRT as follows. From this we can determine the NH4-N concentration expected in the SBR related to the nitrifier growth rate and sludge wasting rate (i.e., SRT).

\[
\frac{1}{SRT} = \mu = \frac{\mu_m N}{K_N + N}
\]

nitrification monod kinetics

where:
\[
\begin{align*}
\mu &= \text{specific growth rate of nitrifiers, g/g-d} \\
N &= \text{NH}_4\text{-N Conc., mg/L} \\
\mu_m &= \text{maximum specific growth rate, 0.72 g/g-d @ 25°C} \\
K_N &= 0.75 \text{ mg/L}
\end{align*}
\]

(EPA Nitrogen Control Manual, 1993)

From above the aerobic SRT = 3.7 days

\[
\mu = 1 / SRT = 1 / 3.7 = 0.27 \\
0.27 = 0.72 \times N / (0.75 + N) \\
N = \text{NH}_4\text{-N} = 0.45 \text{ mg/L}
\]

**sufficient capacity for complete nitrification**

Check safety factor for NH4-N = 2.0 mg/L treatment goal.

\[
(1/SRT) = ((0.72 \times 2) / (0.75 + 2)) = 0.52 / \text{day} \\
1 / SRT = 1 / 0.52 = 1.9
\]

Safety factor = 3.7 / 1.9 = 1.9 (Okay)
PERFORM NITROGEN BALANCE TO GET AMOUNT OF N OXIDIZED

Nitrogen N = nitrogen for synthesis + effluent N + N oxidized to nitrate

Nitrogen for synthesis: SRT = 7.4 days @ 4500 mg/L MLSS

\[ \text{Biomass Yield} = Y / (1 + b \times \text{SRT}) = 0.72 / (1 + 0.08 \times 7.4) = 0.45 \text{ gVSS / g BOD} \]

\[ \text{Biomass Produced} = 0.45 \text{ g} \times (300 \text{ mg/L} - 5 \text{ mg/L BOD}) = 132.8 \text{ mg/L} \]

@ 13% nitrogen, N synthesis = 0.10 (132.8) = 13.3 mg/L

Assume no return/recycle N during digestion/dewatering of sludge for single tank mode:

Nitrate Produced:

\[ \text{TKN} - N_{syn} - NH_4-N = NO_3-N \]

\[ 40.0 - 13.3 - 2.0 = 24.7 \text{ mg/L} \]

NO\textsubscript{3}-N Produced Per Feed Cycle:

\[ = 24.7 \text{ mg/L} / (0.143 \text{ Mgal}) \times 8.34 = 29.5 \text{ lb/cycle} \]

EVALUATE NITROGEN REMOVAL CAPACITY

1. Determine NO\textsubscript{3}-N balance:

Since the reactor approaches a complete mix operation with the internal recycle, we can assume a relatively constant NO\textsubscript{3}-N concentration in the aerobic reactor. The nitrate produced has to be accounted for as follows:

NO\textsubscript{3}-N produced = (29.5 lb/cycle)

\[ = A_1 - \text{NO}_3-N \text{ removed in aerobic reactor (during settle and decant)} + B_1 - \text{NO}_3-N \text{ removed in aerobic reactor (during anoxic mix)} + C_1 - \text{NO}_3-N \text{ allowed in effluent discharge (< 4.5 mg/l)} + D_1 - \text{NO}_3-N \text{ removed in overflow to anoxic reactor (during interact)} \]
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4. - Aerobic reactor nitrate loss (denitrification) will occur in the mixed liquor during the decant and settle period when oxygen is depleted.

Use SDNR for endogenous respiration per the following reference:
Biological Nutrient Removal, Randall, Barnard, and Stensel, Technomincs, 1992

\[
SDNR = \frac{0.50}{2.86} \left( \frac{An}{Yn} \right) \left( \frac{1}{SRT} \right)
\]

\[
An = 1.5 - 1.42Y + \frac{1.42bYSRT}{1+bSRT}
\]

\[
Yn = \frac{Y}{1+bSRT} + Y1
\]

An = 1.5 - (1.42 * 0.6) + ((1.42)(0.08)(0.6)(3.7) / (1 + (0.08)*3.7)) = 0.84

Yn = (0.6 / (1 + (0.08)*3.7)) + 0.50 = 0.96

SDNR = (0.5/2.86) * (0.84/0.96) * (1/7.4) = 0.021 g/g-d

Removed = SDNR(V)(fraction of sludge blanket)(MLSS)(8.34)(time),

Time = 45 min (Settle) + 42 min (Decant) = 87 min (Total)

= (0.021)(0.520)(12.4/23 ft3)(4500)(8.34)(86)/60/24 = 13.2 lb/cycle

R. - Since anoxic mixing will not occur during single tank operation, denitrification cannot be credited.

C. - NO3-N allowed to be the effluent is < 6 mg/l ([NH4-N] single tank mode < 2 mg/L);

Assume 6 mg/L of NO3-N is discharge:

Removed = (6 mg/l) * (0.143) * (8.34) = 7.1 lbs/cycle
Determine how much NO3-N must be removed in anoxic zone:

\[
\text{NO3-N to be removed on anoxic zone} = 29.5 \, \text{lb/cycle} - 13.2 - 7.1 \\
= 9.2 \, \text{lb/cycle}
\]

Determine amount of nitrate fed to anoxic reactor:
To evaluate the nitrate removal capacity we have to determine the amount of nitrate that flows from the aerobic reactor to the anoxic tank and use the SDNR to determine if it can be sufficiently reduced.

Nitrate return feed rate to anoxic zone (using two pumps):
Flow to anoxic = 7940 gpm (66 min) = 524,040 gallons/cycle
Average initial nitrate concentration in the recycle flow:

\[
\text{NO3-N (mg/L)} = (\frac{(29.5 \, \text{lbs N} / 0.520 \, \text{Mgal})}{8.34}) = 6.8 \, \text{mg/L}
\]

Assume 75% of this concentration = 5.0 mg/l
At 5.0 mg/L NO3-N = 5.0 \times (0.524) \times (8.34) = 21.9 lb/cycle

As 21.9 lb/cycle > 9.2 lb/cycle, the system is not limited by recycle rate

Determine NO3-N removal capacity of anoxic reactor:
Specific Denitrification Rate in the Anoxic Reactor can be related to BOD F/M Ratio. (EPA Nitrogen Control Manual)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SDNR} & = 0.03 \, \text{F/M} + 0.029 \\
\text{SDNR} & = \text{Specific NO3-N reduction rate, g NO3-N / g MLSS-d} \\
\text{F/M} & = \text{g BOD / g MLSS-d} \\
\text{F/M} & = 1.2 \, \text{Mgal/d} \times 300 \, \text{mg/L BOD} \\
& = 4500 \, \text{mg/L} \times 0.277 \, \text{Mgal (average depth)} \\
\text{F/M} & = 0.29 \, \text{g/g-d} \\
\text{SDNR} & = 0.038 \, \text{g/g-d} \\
\text{The SDNR} & = 0.038 \, \text{g NO3-N/g MLSS-d} \\
\text{NO3-N removal} & = (\text{Volume}) (\text{MLSS}) (\text{SDNR}) \frac{\Delta t}{\text{cycle}} \quad (8.34) \\
\text{Average Anoxic Volume} & = 0.277 \, \text{Mgal}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \Delta t = 66 \text{ min} = 1.1 \text{ hours} = 0.046 \text{ days/cycle} \]

NO\textsubscript{2}-N removed = (0.277) (4500) (0.038) (0.046) (8.34)

= **18.2 lbs/cycle**

= 18.2 lb/cycle > 9.2 lb/cycle required (safety provided)

Therefore, sufficient capacity in anoxic is available to remove the necessary amount of NO\textsubscript{2}-N

---

**EVALUATE SINGLE TANK AERATION CAPACITY**

At the end of decant there will not be an "Idle" period because the anoxic reactor will already be at cycle water level. Therefore, the amount of aeration time available is equal to:

Aeration time available = (18 min (fill) + 66 min (interact/react) – 0 (anoxic mix)) * (8.4 cycles/day))

= 706 min/day or 11.8 hrs/day

Therefore, from the previous calculations:

SOR required = 359.5 lbs/hr @ 1.2 MGD and 10.0 hours of aeration time per tank

CFM required (single tank) = 359.5 lbs/hr x 2 x (10.0/11.8) = 609 lbs/hr.

Determine air requirement @ 39.1% O\textsubscript{2} transfer efficiency (From Sanitaire)

- **Blower CFM = SOR / (60 min/hr x Transfer Eff. x 0.0177 lbO\textsubscript{2}/ft\textsuperscript{3})**
- **Blower CFM = 609 lbs/hr / (60 * 0.391 * 0.0172)**
- **Blower CFM = 1509 SCFM**

Therefore, two blowers designed for two-tank mode (1000 SCFM @ 10.4 psi) will be able to provide the required air to operate in single tank mode at design capacity with one additional blower for redundancy.
SURGE TANK AND FILTER DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

Decant Time = 42 min
Decant Volume = 0.143 Mgal
= 142,857 gal
Decant Rate = 142,857 gal / 42 min
= 3400 gpm

Using Decanters @ 225 gpm/ft – requires 15.1 ft of weir length

Filter area: 215.2 ft² per 4 disc filter

Two filters in service (one redundant)
Flux rate (peak) = 1666 gpm / (215.2 ft² x 1 filter)
= 7.7 gpm/ ft² (< 8.0 gpm/ ft² - okay)

Minimum surge tank volume needed:
= (3400 gpm – 833 gpm) * 42 min
= 107,814 gallons (14,414 ft³)

Area provided = 18.5' x 58.5' = 1082 ft²

Minimum depth required = 14,414 ft³ / 1082 ft² = 13.3 ft

Design Depth = 16.7'

Total Volume provided = (1082 x 13.17 x 7.48) + (17.75 x 18.5 x 3.4 x 7.48) = 114,940 gallons
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SLUDGE PRODUCTION

1. Waste to Aerobic Storage for Thickening (to 1.5%)
2. Aerobic Digestion for Solids reduction (assume 30%)
3. Dewater with centrifuge (polymer addition)
4. Haul to disposal

1. Pre-Thickened Sludge Volume
   2402 lb/day / (0.0086 x 8.34) = 32,728 gallons/day wasted

   Therefore 32,728 / (4.2 cycles/day/tank x 2 tanks) = 3,896 gallons/cycle
   @ 300 gpm (waste pump capacity) waste time = 13 minutes/cycle

   Alternately - On a continuous waste mode of operation:
   32,728 gallons/day @ 0.88% = 82,286 gallons/day @ 0.35% (mixed liquor)

   Required waste rate = 82,286 gal/d / 2 tanks / 24 hrs / 60 min/hr = 29 gpm

   So more than sufficient waste capacity is installed for either mode of operation.

   After Thickening:
   TS = 2402 lb/d @ 1.5% = 19,200 gallons

2. After Solids Reduction
   Assume 80% VSS = 2402 lb TS x 0.8 = 1922 lb VSS
   Assume 30% VSS reduction in storage.
   TS after reduction = (2402 lb TS - 1922 lb VSS) + (1922 lb VSS x (1 - 0.30))
   = 1825 lb TS @ 1.5% = 14,592 gallons/day wet sludge

   Calculus solids Storage in Aerobic Storage:
   Aerobic storage surface area = 28.5' x 58.5' = 1667.3 ft²

   Therefore, volume per foot depth = 1667.3 x 7.48 = 12,470 gallons/ft

   Total water depth in reactor = 23 feet (15 feet usable storage)

   Therefore the available storage volume = 12,470 x 15 ft = 187,050 gallons

   The available days of storage = 187,050 gal / 14,592 gal/day = 12.8 days

3. Final sludge for dewatering
   Volume = 14,592 gal/d @ 1.5%
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Provide 1 Centrifuge Unit @ 90 gpm loading rate

Hours of operation per day @ design flow = 14,592 gal/d / 90 gpm x 60 min/hr = 2.7 hours per day @ 1.5%

Assume polymer usage is average = 10 lb/2000 lb D.S.
Polymer consumption per day = 1871 lb / 2000 x 10 = 9.4 lb/day

Calculate gallons of neat emulsion polymer required / day:
= 9.4 lbs/d / 8.75 (specific gravity) x 3 (dilution factor) = 3.1 gallons/day

4. Final sludge for disposal

Assume:
Solids concentration from centrifuge = 25%
Solids feed concentration = 1.5%

Total volume of dewater sludge = 14,592 gallons x 1.5% / 25% / 7.48 gal/ft3 / 27 ft3/yd = 4.3 yd/day
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Early Start</th>
<th>Early Finish</th>
<th>Total Float</th>
<th>Total Dur.</th>
<th>Rem Dur.</th>
<th>DPR</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Late Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Engineering Notice to Proceed</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0606</td>
<td>0506</td>
<td>0606</td>
<td>0600</td>
<td>0606</td>
<td>0506</td>
<td>0606</td>
<td>0506</td>
<td>0606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Mag 206 Approval Submittal/Approval</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0406</td>
<td>0306</td>
<td>0406</td>
<td>0400</td>
<td>0406</td>
<td>0306</td>
<td>0406</td>
<td>0306</td>
<td>0406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Project Kick Off Meeting</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0607</td>
<td>0507</td>
<td>0607</td>
<td>0600</td>
<td>0607</td>
<td>0507</td>
<td>0607</td>
<td>0507</td>
<td>0607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Final Design</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0308</td>
<td>0208</td>
<td>0308</td>
<td>0300</td>
<td>0308</td>
<td>0208</td>
<td>0308</td>
<td>0208</td>
<td>0308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Client &amp; City of Surprise Final Design</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0609</td>
<td>0509</td>
<td>0609</td>
<td>0600</td>
<td>0609</td>
<td>0509</td>
<td>0609</td>
<td>0509</td>
<td>0609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Maricopa County Review Pert</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0910</td>
<td>0810</td>
<td>0910</td>
<td>0900</td>
<td>0910</td>
<td>0810</td>
<td>0910</td>
<td>0810</td>
<td>0910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Maricopa Approval Rel</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0611</td>
<td>0511</td>
<td>0611</td>
<td>0600</td>
<td>0611</td>
<td>0511</td>
<td>0611</td>
<td>0511</td>
<td>0611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>Obtain City Permit</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0612</td>
<td>0512</td>
<td>0612</td>
<td>0600</td>
<td>0612</td>
<td>0512</td>
<td>0612</td>
<td>0512</td>
<td>0612</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Shop Drawings**

- 2006: Equipment & GC
- 2007: Plant Layout
- 2008: Influent Plant
- 2009: Chemical Feed Pump
- 2010: Discharge Pump
- 2011: Blowers
- 2012: Sludge Feed Pump
- 2013: Booster Pumps
- 2014: Decanter Centrifuge
- 2015: Flotation Decanter
- 2016: Rotary Drum Thicker
- 2017: Disk Filters
- 2018: UV Disinfection
- 2019: Decanter Centrifuge NOXON
- 2020: Polymer Feed Unit
- 2021: Flow Meter
- 2022: Gas Detector
- 2023: DO Sensor & Analyzer
- 2024: Liquid Level Pressure Transducer
- 2025: Turbidity Meter
- 2026: MCC & Controls
- 2027: Emergency Generator

**Fabrication**

- 3001: Precast Pumps for Tank Deck
- 3002: Influent Pump Plant
- 3003: Chemical Feed Pump
- 3004: Discharge Pump
- 3005: Blower
- 3006: Sludge Feed Pump
- 3007: Booster Pumps
- 3008: Floating Decanter
- 3009: Fine Bubble Sanitex MBT Di
- 3010: Rotary Drum Thickener IPE
- 3011: Disk Filtration Adsorbent Di
- 3012: UV Disinfection
- 3013: Decanter Centrifuge NOXON
- 3014: Polymer Feed Unit
- 3015: Flow Meter
- 3016: Gas Detector
- 3017: DO Sensor & Analyzer
- 3018: Liquid Level Pressure Transducer
- 3019: Turbidity Meter

**Pacific Environmental Resource Corp**

**SPA 2 WRF**

**Lennar Communities Development Inc.**

[Diagram showing various components and procedures related to the WRF project]
Appendix D

Lennar Communities Development Inc.
And City of Surprise Financial Statements

The following information was provided by Lennar Communities Development, Inc. Included are excerpts from the year 2003 annual financial report. A complete copy may be obtained upon request.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

17. Supplemental Financial Information

As discussed in Note 7, the Company's obligations to pay principal, premium, if any, and interest under certain debt obligations are guaranteed on a joint and several basis by substantially all of the Company's subsidiaries, other than subsidiaries primarily engaged in concrete and civil construction activities. The guarantees are full and unconditional and the guarantor subsidiaries are 100% directly or indirectly owned by Lennar Corporation. The Company has determined that separate, full financial statements of the guarantors would not be material to investors and, accordingly, supplemental financial information for the guarantors is presented.

Consolidated Balance Sheet
November 30, 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lennar Corporation</th>
<th>Guarantors Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Eliminations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(In Thousands)</td>
<td>(In Thousands)</td>
<td>(In Thousands)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(In Thousands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSETS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noteholding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and equivalents</td>
<td>$ 294,715</td>
<td>261,921</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>556,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,694,410</td>
<td>64,618</td>
<td>1,859,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries</td>
<td>16,946</td>
<td>375,288</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>592,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>99,614</td>
<td>332,013</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>431,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in subsidiaries</td>
<td>3,541,747</td>
<td>390,722</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(3,902,469)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>4,553,422</td>
<td>5,311,161</td>
<td>6,408</td>
<td>(3,902,469)</td>
<td>5,758,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>45,553,422</td>
<td>5,147,446</td>
<td>1,001,033</td>
<td>(3,903,469)</td>
<td>57,715,432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lennar Corporation</th>
<th>Guarantors Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Eliminations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(In Thousands)</td>
<td>(In Thousands)</td>
<td>(In Thousands)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(In Thousands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noteholding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and other liabilities</td>
<td>$ 325,695</td>
<td>715,041</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,040,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities related to consolidated inventory</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>45,214</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>45,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and notes payable, net</td>
<td>1,476,960</td>
<td>75,287</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,552,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incurred</td>
<td>(912,507)</td>
<td>562,369</td>
<td>(249,960)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>1,289,648</td>
<td>1,598,475</td>
<td>249,735</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3,037,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>4,289,648</td>
<td>1,650,699</td>
<td>616,313</td>
<td>(3,902,469)</td>
<td>5,053,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholders' equity</td>
<td>3,243,774</td>
<td>3,241,747</td>
<td>220,722</td>
<td>(3,902,469)</td>
<td>3,585,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</td>
<td>$4,533,422</td>
<td>5,147,446</td>
<td>1,007,033</td>
<td>(3,903,469)</td>
<td>8,775,432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Consolidating Balance Sheet
November 30, 2002

**ASSETS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lessor Corporation</th>
<th>Guarantor Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries (In Thousands)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and receivables, net</td>
<td>$622,019</td>
<td>157,566</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>779,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>3,721,015</td>
<td>6,502</td>
<td>3,877,517</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in unconsolidated partnerships</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>285,594</td>
<td>285,594</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>84,122</td>
<td>273,616</td>
<td></td>
<td>357,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in subsidiaries</td>
<td>2,844,512</td>
<td>360,685</td>
<td>(2,887,197)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>3,291,653</td>
<td>4,286,466</td>
<td>6,577</td>
<td>4,600,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35,913</td>
<td>1,074,241</td>
<td>(13,045)</td>
<td>1,095,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$8,320,653</td>
<td>4,365,579</td>
<td>1,080,813</td>
<td>1,502,217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lessor Corporation</th>
<th>Guarantor Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries (In Thousands)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and other liabilities</td>
<td>$337,746</td>
<td>655,842</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>(31) 909,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior notes and other debentures payable, net</td>
<td>1,678,814</td>
<td>121,502</td>
<td>(15,014)</td>
<td>1,583,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercompany</td>
<td>(251,071)</td>
<td>931,914</td>
<td>(186,840)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,051,642</td>
<td>1,690,295</td>
<td>(330,658)</td>
<td>(13,045) 2,655,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3,572</td>
<td>958,816</td>
<td>571,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>1,051,646</td>
<td>1,701,687</td>
<td>778,138</td>
<td>(13,045) 3,521,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholders’ equity</td>
<td>2,229,167</td>
<td>2,508,512</td>
<td>572,655</td>
<td>(2,807,147) 1,229,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and stockholders’ equity</td>
<td>$3,280,653</td>
<td>4,286,466</td>
<td>1,080,813</td>
<td>(2,902,212) 5,705,633</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

#### Consolidated Statement of Earnings

**Year Ended November 30, 2003**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lennar Corporation</th>
<th>Guaranteed Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Non-Guaranteed Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Eliminations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homebuilding</td>
<td>$ 3,345,645</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,345,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>12,726</td>
<td>658,282</td>
<td>(12,254)</td>
<td>538,974</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenues:</strong></td>
<td>8,360,371</td>
<td>718,282</td>
<td>(13,254)</td>
<td>8,907,319</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs and expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homebuilding</td>
<td>7,291,417</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>(9,622)</td>
<td>7,283,396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>11,549</td>
<td>401,384</td>
<td>(8,412)</td>
<td>404,521</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate general and administrative</td>
<td>111,488</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>111,488</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total costs and expenses:</strong></td>
<td>8,404,348</td>
<td>513,345</td>
<td>(12,034)</td>
<td>7,894,655</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity in earnings from unconsolidated partnerships</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81,937</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81,937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management fees and other income, net</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21,865</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21,865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earnings (loss) before income taxes:</strong></td>
<td>(111,488)</td>
<td>1,162,105</td>
<td>155,337</td>
<td>(1,207,024)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions (benefit) for income taxes</td>
<td>(42,084)</td>
<td>438,733</td>
<td>59,015</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>455,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity in earnings (loss) from subsidiaries</td>
<td>820,795</td>
<td>97,322</td>
<td>(918,117)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net earnings (loss):</strong></td>
<td>$ 751,390</td>
<td>822,795</td>
<td>97,322</td>
<td>(918,117)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Consolidated Statement of Earnings

**Year Ended November 30, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lennar Corporation</th>
<th>Guaranteed Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Non-Guaranteed Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Eliminations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homebuilding</td>
<td>$ 6,751,235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,751,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>86,977</td>
<td>420,604</td>
<td>(2,962)</td>
<td>484,219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenues:</strong></td>
<td>8,817,212</td>
<td>420,604</td>
<td>(2,962)</td>
<td>8,230,852</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs and expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homebuilding</td>
<td>5,995,697</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>(2,962)</td>
<td>5,993,292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>54,434</td>
<td>302,174</td>
<td></td>
<td>356,608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate general and administrative</td>
<td>85,958</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85,958</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total costs and expenses:</strong></td>
<td>85,958</td>
<td>600,041</td>
<td>302,718</td>
<td>(2,962)</td>
<td>645,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity in earnings from unconsolidated partnerships</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42,650</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management fees and other income, net</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33,313</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earnings (loss) before income taxes:</strong></td>
<td>(85,958)</td>
<td>143,795</td>
<td>117,872</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>815,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions (benefit) for income taxes</td>
<td>(22,591)</td>
<td>318,535</td>
<td>64,428</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>330,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity in earnings (loss) from subsidiaries</td>
<td>598,696</td>
<td>72,434</td>
<td>(372,130)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net earnings (loss):</strong></td>
<td>$545,120</td>
<td>598,696</td>
<td>72,434</td>
<td>(372,130)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues:</td>
<td>Lennar Corporation</td>
<td>Guarantor Subsidiaries</td>
<td>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</td>
<td>Eliminations</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homebuilding</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>5,554,743</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5,564,747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>55,146</td>
<td>770,268</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>425,354</td>
<td>5,980,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenues</td>
<td>5,609,889</td>
<td>770,268</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5,980,101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Costs and expenses:           |                   |                       |                           |             |           |
| Homebuilding                  | 4,933,528         | 543                   | —                        | 4,934,071   |
| Financial services            | 61,358            | 273,605               | —                        | 336,223     |
| Corporate general and administrative | 75,831           |                       | —                        | 75,831      |
| Total costs and expenses      | 75,831            | 495,936               | 274,406                  | —           | 5,346,123 |

<p>| Equity in earnings from unconsolidated partnerships |                   |                       |                           |             |           |
| Management fees and other income, net | 27,051            |                       | —                        | 27,051      |
| Earnings (loss) before income taxes | (75,837)          | (359,450)             | 92,804                   | 679,423     |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (27,828)          | 253,838               | 55,519                   | 261,738     |
| Equity in earnings (losses) from subsidiaries | 465,847           | 60,285                | —                        | (526,132)   |
| Net earnings (loss)           | $417,845          | 465,847               | 60,285                   | (526,132)   | 417,845   |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Flows from operating activities</th>
<th>Lennox Corporation</th>
<th>Guarantee Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Non-Guarantee Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Eliminations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before income taxes</td>
<td>1,504,391</td>
<td>320,795</td>
<td>1,184,391</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,973,577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to reconcile net earnings before income taxes</td>
<td>(789,218)</td>
<td>(557,339)</td>
<td>(778,218)</td>
<td>(1,138,177)</td>
<td>(1,973,592)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating activities</td>
<td>(379,243)</td>
<td>343,456</td>
<td>734,181</td>
<td>(15,042)</td>
<td>695,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Flows from investing activities</th>
<th>Lennox Corporation</th>
<th>Guarantee Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Non-Guarantee Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Eliminations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Increase) decrease in investmets in unconsolidated partnerships</td>
<td>(16,876)</td>
<td>88,419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions net of cash acquired</td>
<td></td>
<td>(149,212)</td>
<td>(10,177)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(159,389)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>(5,177)</td>
<td>(6,692)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(11,869)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used in investing activities</td>
<td></td>
<td>(252,433)</td>
<td>(87,435)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(339,868)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Flows from financing activities</th>
<th>Lennox Corporation</th>
<th>Guarantee Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Non-Guarantee Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Eliminations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net proceeds from issuances of 5% convertible notes</td>
<td>341,730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>341,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net repayments under financial agreements</td>
<td></td>
<td>(134,989)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(134,989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock issuances</td>
<td>18,197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repurchases</td>
<td>(1,046)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1,046)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends and other</td>
<td></td>
<td>(22,705)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(22,705)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by financing activities</td>
<td></td>
<td>(335,687)</td>
<td>(98,501)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(434,188)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase in cash</td>
<td>272,540</td>
<td>197,806</td>
<td>21,571</td>
<td></td>
<td>492,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash at beginning of year</td>
<td>8,830,750</td>
<td>320,795</td>
<td>69,574</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,221,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash at end of year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>492,917</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cash flows from operating activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal</th>
<th>Competitive</th>
<th>Non-Competitive</th>
<th>Eliminations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 545,129</td>
<td>$ 398,690</td>
<td>73,454</td>
<td>(657,133)</td>
<td>$ 545,129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjustment to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:

- $500,149
- (299,042)
- (138,073)
- (657,144)
- (340,961)

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:

- 44,986
- 299,653
- (125,079)
- (14,986)
- 264,958

Cash flows from investing activities:

Decrease in investment in unconsolidated partnerships, net:

- (3,894)
- 1
- -
- (3,893)

Acquisitions, net of cash acquired:

- (415,607)
- (8,872)
- -
- (414,279)

Other:

- (3,719)
- 3,382
- (923)
- -
- 96

Net cash used in investing activities:

- (1,759)
- 395,334
- 2,384
- -
- (350,677)

Cash flows from financing activities:

Net borrowings (repayments) under revolving credit facilities and other borrowings:

- (6,409)
- (118,635)
- 259
- 14,946
- (111,116)

Net borrowings under financial services agreements:

- -
- -
- (-154,730)
- -
- (154,730)

Carrying costs:

- Insurance:
  - 13,311
- -
- -
- -
- 13,311

- Repurchases:
  - 85
- -
- -
- -
- 85

- Dividends:
  - (1,132)
- -
- -
- -
- (1,132)

- Intercompany:
  - (41,447)
- 170,078
- (59,056)
- -
- -

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities:

- (122,385)
- 50,931
- 129,430
- (14,946)
- 20,994

Net decrease in cash:

- (90,163)
- (7,723)
- (7,280)
- -
- (104,126)

Cash at the beginning of year:

- 710,252
- 115,214
- 81,231
- -
- 877,327

Cash at the end of year:

- 621,189
- 107,599
- 46,041
- -
- 774,129
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash flows from operating activities:</th>
<th>Linear</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Non-Operating</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net earnings (loss)</td>
<td>4,178,345</td>
<td>455,847</td>
<td>90,299</td>
<td>4,266,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities</td>
<td>(399,018)</td>
<td>(117,596)</td>
<td>(273,227)</td>
<td>524,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities</td>
<td>24,237</td>
<td>347,811</td>
<td>(123,842)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash flows from investing activities:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in investments in unconsolidated partnerships, net</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3,352</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>(2,915)</td>
<td>(418)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>(2,333)</td>
<td>1,177</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash flows from financing activities:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net borrowings (repayments) under revolving credit facilities and other borrowings</td>
<td>219,974</td>
<td>(21,543)</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net borrowings under Existing Senior secured debt</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>265,687</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance</td>
<td>19,789</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends</td>
<td>(1,146)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities</td>
<td>248,838</td>
<td>(19,527)</td>
<td>(4,529)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net increase in cash | 440,253 | (21,527) | 3,165 | — | 442,378 |

Cash at beginning of year | 504,542 | 35,955 | 12,802 | — | 542,397 |

Cash at end of year | 945,395 | 13,778 | 35,363 | — | 977,736 |
18. Quarterly Data (unaudited)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>First Quarter</th>
<th>Second Quarter</th>
<th>Third Quarter</th>
<th>Fourth Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in thousands, except per share amounts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$1,600,476</td>
<td>2,105,108</td>
<td>2,297,842</td>
<td>2,356,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before provision for income taxes</td>
<td>$170,792</td>
<td>257,534</td>
<td>323,119</td>
<td>454,909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net earnings</td>
<td>$106,318</td>
<td>160,315</td>
<td>201,377</td>
<td>283,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per share:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>$0.75</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted</td>
<td>$0.68</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$1,226,901</td>
<td>1,549,484</td>
<td>1,647,852</td>
<td>2,001,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before provision for income taxes</td>
<td>$115,437</td>
<td>176,293</td>
<td>228,464</td>
<td>361,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net earnings</td>
<td>$71,891</td>
<td>106,027</td>
<td>142,219</td>
<td>228,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per share:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>$0.52</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted</td>
<td>$0.47</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quarterly and year-to-date comparisons of per share amounts are made independently. Therefore, the sum of per share amounts for the quarters may not agree with per share amounts for the year. All earnings per share amounts were adjusted for the Company’s April 2003 10% Class B stock dividend and on January 2004 two-for-one stock split.
MEMORANDUM

To: Brenda Day
MAG

From: Rich Williams, Sr.
Water Services Director
City of Surprise

Date: 7/27/04

The City of Surprise is committed to meeting the growth projections enhancement with its General Plan of Development. As a full service municipality, providing a complete operational sewage system, the City has sanitary sewer personnel on its staff that is versed in all aspects of sanitary sewer service from maintenance and repair of its collection system to operations of its wastewater treatment facilities.

As further evidence of the City’s intention in meeting its growth projections several key growth policies have been recently approved through City Council actions including the Integrated Water Master Plan (for both potable water and sanitary sewer); Special Development Planning Areas, SPA 1 - SPA 6 that give further substance to the above mentioned Master Plan, and a policy that outlines a Sanitary Sewer System Development Fee structure to be used in conjunction with planned area development.

These fees have been structured to meet future City obligations with regard to both, capital improvement projects as well as sewer service fees to the City’s citizens that we are structured to meet future operational needs and meet the City’s financial obligations.
CITY OF SURPRISE
COUNCIL AGENDA ACTION FORM

Meeting Type: Regular Meeting
Time Scheduled: May 31, 2004 7:00 PM
Submittig Department: Finance
Contact Person: Robert Nilles
Counsel: Regular
Requesting Action: Report Only

Type of Document Needing Approval (Check all that apply):
- Public Hearing
- Resolution
- Agreement
- Emergency Clause
- Firefighting Agreement
- Special Consideration
- Intergovernmental Agreement
- Grant
- Submission
- Acceptance
- License/Licensing

Counsel Priority (Check Appropriate Area):
- Education
- Public Safety
- Public Recreation
- Human Service Needs
- City Revenue
- Quality Service Delivery
- Community Affairs
- Neighborhood Revitalization
- Employment Infrastructure
- Work Force Preparation
- Parks, Recreation & Library
- Public Infrastructure

Regular Agenda Wording: Consideration and action to approve
- Ordinance #04-24
- an ordinance repealing Chapters 15.08 and 15.12 and Sections 15.04.250, 15.04.290,
  15.04.500, 15.04.510, 15.04.520, 15.08.400, 15.08.570, 15.08.680, 15.08.690 and 15.08.700 of
  the Surprise Municipal Code; and adding Chapter 15.10 adopting the new Development Fee Study
  and development fees.

Staff Recommendation: Approve Fiscal Impact. Yes, increasing development fees will increase the
revenue produced for capital improvements related to new development.

Background Information: The new Development Fee Study updates all of the City's current fees. This
Ordinance will adopt the Development Fee Study and the new development fees, and make numerous
close-up revisions to the existing Municipal Code sections related to development fees. The
Development Fee Study is on file with the City Clerk and was provided to the Council in January
2004.

List Attachments as follows: Ordinance #04-24; Figure 1: Schedule of Maximum Supportable
Development Fees – Page 4 of Tischler & Associates Development Impact Fee Study; Council
Communication

(Signatures of Submitting Officers (Sign Legibly):

Department Head

Superintendent

Budget Authorization

Legal Review

City Manager/Designee

Distribution After Council Action: Council Action: Results:
- Regular
- Agenda Item
- Motion/Second
- Shaker
- For
- Against
### Schedule of Maximum Surcharge Development Fees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All Development - Health (SPA's 1 &amp; 2)</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Hydrocarbon</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apportionable Fee (by Alloc.)</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Supply</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$405</td>
<td>$28,799</td>
<td>$1,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$86</td>
<td>$5,662</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$21,362</td>
<td>$18,976</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$25,478</td>
<td>$11,336</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>Conjunctural</td>
<td>$14,640</td>
<td>$12,724</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$45,432</td>
<td>$14,881</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$37,736</td>
<td>$16,890</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$32,346</td>
<td>$16,463</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$31,037</td>
<td>$15,602</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$30,632</td>
<td>$15,062</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$27,247</td>
<td>$13,735</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All Development - Health (SPA's 1)</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Hydrocarbon</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apportionable Fee (by Alloc.)</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Supply</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$440</td>
<td>$19,670</td>
<td>$1,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$606</td>
<td>$5,662</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$86</td>
<td>$5,662</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$21,362</td>
<td>$18,976</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>Conjunctural</td>
<td>$14,640</td>
<td>$12,724</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$45,432</td>
<td>$14,881</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$37,736</td>
<td>$16,890</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$32,346</td>
<td>$16,463</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$31,037</td>
<td>$15,602</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$30,632</td>
<td>$15,062</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All Development - Utility (SPA's 1)</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Hydrocarbon</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apportionable Fee (by Alloc.)</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Supply</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$440</td>
<td>$19,670</td>
<td>$1,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$606</td>
<td>$5,662</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$86</td>
<td>$5,662</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>$21,362</td>
<td>$18,976</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>Conjunctural</td>
<td>$14,640</td>
<td>$12,724</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$45,432</td>
<td>$14,881</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$37,736</td>
<td>$16,890</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$32,346</td>
<td>$16,463</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$31,037</td>
<td>$15,602</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
<td>$30,632</td>
<td>$15,062</td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Variations of Development Fees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of Entering Park</th>
<th>Number of Lots</th>
<th>Public Works</th>
<th>Parks</th>
<th>General</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Environmental Impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Type of Land Use</th>
<th>SPA</th>
<th>Income Tax</th>
<th>Impact Factor</th>
<th>Impact Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Low Impact</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>$2,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Density</td>
<td>Medium Impact</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>$4,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Density</td>
<td>High Impact</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>$9,840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional Boiler Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBJ</th>
<th>Cap/Max.</th>
<th>30% + 20%</th>
<th>OBJ</th>
<th>Cap/Max.</th>
<th>30% + 20%</th>
<th>OBJ</th>
<th>Cap/Max.</th>
<th>30% + 20%</th>
<th>OBJ</th>
<th>Cap/Max.</th>
<th>30% + 20%</th>
<th>OBJ</th>
<th>Cap/Max.</th>
<th>30% + 20%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPA1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA2</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA3</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA4</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 4. This Ordinance #04-24 shall be codified.

Section 5. Staff is hereby directed to conduct the next development fee study 18 months following the adoption of this Ordinance #04-24.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 21 day of May, 2004.

John H. Shafer, Mayor

ATTEST:                APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Sherry Aquilar, City Clerk  Jeffrey M. Bills, City Attorney

Yes: Mayor Shafer, Vice-Mayor Cox, Council Members: Allen, Bais, Arismendiz, Sullivan & Yukavich.

Nays: 
a. Qualifying commercial and/or industrial developments within the Original Town Site Infill District shall receive a one hundred percent waiver of building permit and building plan review fees.

b. Qualifying commercial and/or industrial developments within the Original Town Site Infill District generating sales tax shall be eligible to receive a one time fifty percent rebate of the city’s sales tax apportionment during the first full year of operation. Applicants must apply for annual sales tax rebate in January for the previous calendar year sales tax revenues. Upon approval by the City Manager or designee, rebates shall be calculated and disbursed within 45 days of receipt of the application.

c. Qualifying commercial and/or industrial developments within the Original Town Site Infill District shall be eligible to receive expedited plan review services. Commercial site plans, commercial subdivision plats, landscape plans, civil plans and building plans shall be reviewed within a maximum of twelve business days from date of submission to issuance of redline or administrative comments. The city shall bear all costs associated with the expedited review process.

d. Qualifying Commercial and/or Industrial development within the Original Town Site Infill District shall be eligible to receive expedited administrative processing for requests when possible. Administrative processes will be accelerated to facilitate advancing the project to the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council.

C. Persons developing commercial property within the Original Town Site Infill District wishing to receive incentives under the Original Town Site Infill Incentive Plan shall submit an application to the Community and Economic Development Director ("CEDD"). The CEDD shall process the request and make recommendations to City Council for final approval. If approved, the CEDD shall administer the Original Town Site Infill Incentive Plan.

15.10.070 Expanding existing businesses. In order to be considered an expanding existing business, a business must demonstrate all of the following requirements:
1. Facility expansion of at least an additional 1000 square feet.
2. A ten percent increase in employees.
3. For purposes of the sales tax rebate option, generation of sales tax and a twenty percent overall income increases by the end of the first full year of operation after expansion completion.

15.10.080 Penalties for violations. Any person found to have violated any provision of this chapter shall be guilty of a class 1 misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of up to $2500 and six months in jail.

15.10.090 Procedure for violations. Every action to prosecute a violation of this chapter shall be processed in the manner provided in Chapter 1.18.

15.10.100 Jurisdiction of city court. The Surprise City Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction over all proceedings to enforce this chapter.
Development Fee Study. The development fees shall be annually adjusted to account for inflation using the index published by Engineering News Record.

B. The development fees set forth in the Development Fee Study shall be collected by the building inspector prior to the issuance of a building permit for the construction of any dwelling unit or commercial or industrial building or structure. The development fees with respect to any mobile, manufactured, or modular home space shall be collected prior to the issuance of a permit for the establishment of a mobile, manufactured, or modular home within a mobile home park or a manufactured housing subdivision. The development fees with respect to any recreational vehicle pad or travel trailer pad shall be collected prior to the issuance of a permit for the construction of a recreational vehicle or travel trailer park, or for the construction of a pad contiguous thereto. The building inspector shall not issue a building permit until the applicable development fees have been paid.

C. In the event a building permit is issued for the enlargement, reconstruction, or remodeling of an existing structure, the development fees may be reduced by giving a credit for previously paid development fees with regard to that unit or parcel, the purpose being to collect development fees when additional demand is created on the city services, because of enlargement, reconstruction, removal or remodeling of a structure.

15.10.040 Disposition. A. All development fees collected shall be deposited into separate accounts according to the development fee category and shall not be commingled with the general fund revenues of the city.

B. The revenues generated by development fees shall be used for the purposes identified in the Development Fee Study.

15.10.050 Exceptions. Development fees shall only be collected where services are provided by the city. For example, development located outside of the city’s water service area shall not be assessed the development fees related to the provision of water. Additionally, commercial development shall not be assessed the park and recreation development fee, the library development fee or the sanitation portion of the public works development fee.

15.10.060 Infill incentive district. A. There is established, pursuant to the authority granted in A.R.S. §9-409, Y(A), an infill incentive district in the area bounded by Bell Road to the north, El Mirage to the east, Greenway Road to the south and Dysart Road to the west. This district is designated as the Original Town Site Infill Incentive District.

B. Original Town site infill incentive plan.
   1. Residential development. All residential development within the Original Town Site Infill Incentive District shall receive a one hundred percent waiver of development fees.
   2. Commercial development.
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15.10.010 Definitions. The following words and phrases, that have the meanings respectively accorded to them in this section, unless the context, a different meaning is clearly intended:
A. "Commercial development" and/or "industrial development" means all buildings and lots within the territorial limits of the city, other than single-family residence, multi-family residence, apartments, mobile home subdivisions, and recreational vehicle parks or other dwelling units.
B. " Dwelling unit" means a room or group of rooms within a building or structure containing cooking accommodations. An apartment, a mobile, manufactured or modular home, a recreational vehicle, and a travel trailer shall be considered a dwelling unit, but a motel room or hotel room is not considered a dwelling unit under the provisions of this chapter.
C. "Mobile, manufactured, or modular home space" means any lot or space contained in a mobile home park or manufactured housing subdivision.
D. "New business" means new construction of fifty one percent (51%) reconstruction of the total building square footage of an existing building. For the purposes of this ordinance, a new business is not an existing business, which has only changed ownership.
E. "Qualifying Commercial and/or Industrial development" means new or expanding developments that will be: (i) legal and conforming upon project completion, (ii) in possession of all required development approvals pursuant to city process, procedures and policies, (iii) occupying vacant property or replacing dislocated buildings, or if expanding will comply with the criteria for "expanding existing businesses."
F. "Recreational vehicle pad" or " travel trailer pad" means any lot or space contained in a recreational vehicle park.

15.10.020 Development fee study. The Development Fee Study, prepared by Tuchler & Associates, dated January 23, 2004 and declared a public record by Resolution 04-165, is hereby adopted by the city and incorporated in this section by reference as though it had been fully and completely set forth in this section.

15.10.030 Development fees. A. The residential and commercial development fees shall be the maximum supportive fees as identified in the
ORDINANCE #04-24


WHEREAS, an updated Development Fee Study has been completed that addresses development fee levels within the City of Surprise, and;

WHEREAS, the Mayor and City Council’s direction to staff has always been that new development should pay for itself, and;

WHEREAS, the fees contained in the new Development Fee are in accordance with the costs associated with providing the corresponding capital improvements, and;

WHEREAS, this Ordinance #04-24 will adopt by reference the new Development Fee Study and close out a number of existing sections related to development fees;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Mayor and City Council of the City of Surprise that:

Section 1. Chapters 15.08 and 15.12, and Sections 13.04.280, 13.04.290, 13.04.300, 13.04.310, 13.04.320, 13.08.660, 13.08.670, 13.08.680, 13.08.690 and 13.08.700 of the Surprise Municipal Code are hereby repealed.

Section 2. Article VI of Chapter 13.04 of the Surprise Municipal Code is hereby renumbered Article V.

Section 3. The following Chapter 15.10 is hereby added to the Surprise Municipal Code:

Chapter 15.10

DEVELOPMENT FEES & INFILL INCENTIVE DISTRICTS

Sections:

15.10.010 Definitions.
Appendix E

Communication with ADEQ Regarding Permitting
# SPA No.2 Water Reclamation Facility
Aquifer Protection Permit (APP)
Pre-Application Meeting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Phone No.</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dwayne Bo</td>
<td>PACE</td>
<td>714-514-8812</td>
<td>dbo@pace-ecp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Hill</td>
<td>Kerners Grounds</td>
<td>559-345-0007</td>
<td>thill@kerners-ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaumil</td>
<td>ADEQ</td>
<td>602-771-4578</td>
<td><a href="mailto:khp@e.a.v.state">khp@e.a.v.state</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Pakulski</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a32@m.a.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tito Carrera</td>
<td>ADEQ-APP</td>
<td>612-771-4577</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tcc@eau.state">tcc@eau.state</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott M. Smith</td>
<td>Kerners Grounds</td>
<td></td>
<td>scott.smith@kerners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marvin Fabian</td>
<td>Clear Creek</td>
<td>602-294-1600</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mjfabian@eau.state">mjfabian@eau.state</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dom Wiesner</td>
<td>Clear Creek</td>
<td>602-294-1600</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dwiesner@eau.state">dwiesner@eau.state</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Owen</td>
<td>FERC</td>
<td>(406) 557-8625</td>
<td><a href="mailto:swen@fercwater.state">swen@fercwater.state</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcy Mullins</td>
<td>ADEQ-REUSE</td>
<td>(602) 771-4464</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mmullins@eau.state.az.us">mmullins@eau.state.az.us</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Tabitha Antoniadis, being first duly sworn, upon oath deposes and says: That she is a legal advertising representative of the Arizona Business Gazette, a newspaper of general circulation in the county of Maricopa, State of Arizona, published at Phoenix, Arizona, by Phoenix Newspapers Inc., which also publishes The Arizona Republic, and that the copy hereto attached is a true copy of the advertisement published in the said paper or, the dates as indicated.
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Sworn to before me this 9th day of August A.D. 2005

MARTHA J. GREENWOOD
Notary Public
TO: Interested Parties for Water Quality

FROM: Julie Hoffman, Environmental Planner

SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING ON THE DRAFT MAG 208 WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENTS FOR THE EXPANSION OF THE CENTRAL BUCKEYE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT AND CITY OF SURPRISE SPECIAL PLANNING AREA 2 REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY

Public Hearing
July 12, 2005 at 4:00 p.m.
MAG Office, Saguaros Room
302 N. 1st Avenue, Second Floor
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

The Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) will conduct a public hearing on the Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendments for the Expansion of the Central Buckeye Wastewater Treatment Plant and the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 2 Regional Water Reclamation Facility on July 12, 2005. The purpose of the hearing is to receive public comments on the draft plan amendments.

The Central Buckeye Wastewater Treatment Plant is identified in the current MAG 208 Plan as the “Town of Buckeye Wastewater Treatment Plant” with a capacity of 2.0 million gallons per day (mgd) and an Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (AZPDES) permit discharge. The proposed facility expansion would increase capacity to 16.6 mgd and the facility name would be changed to the “Central Buckeye Wastewater Treatment Plant.” The expanded facility would continue to have an AZPDES permit discharge.

The proposed Surprise Special Planning Area 2 Regional Water Reclamation Facility would have a capacity of 10.5 mgd and would be located in the northeast quarter of Section 18 of Township 4 North, Range 1 West. Reclaimed effluent would be disposed of through reuse, recharge, and a potential future AZPDES permit discharge to the McMillen Dam Outlet Channel or the Agua Fria River.

For your information and convenience, a copy of the public hearing notice is enclosed. The draft documents are available for public review at the MAG Office, third floor from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. Copies are also available for review at the Glendale Public Library, 5959 West Brown Street; Mesa Public Library, 64 East First Street; and Phoenician Central Public Library, 1221 North Central Avenue. For further information or to submit written comments on the draft amendments prior to the hearing, please contact me at (602) 254-6300.
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PUBLIC HEARING ON THE DRAFT MAG 208 WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENTS FOR THE EXPANSION OF THE CENTRAL BUCKEYE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT AND CITY OF SURPRISE SPECIAL PLANNING AREA 2 REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY

Tuesday, July 12, 2005 at 4:00 p.m.
MAG Office, Suite 200 - Saguaro Room
302 North 1st Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

The Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) will conduct a public hearing on the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendments for the Expansion of the Central Buckeye Wastewater Treatment Plant and the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 2 Regional Water Reclamation Facility. The purpose of the hearing is to receive public comments on the draft amendments.

The Central Buckeye Wastewater Treatment Plant is identified in the current MAG 208 Plan as the “Town of Buckeye Wastewater Treatment Plant” with a capacity of 2.0 million gallons per day (mgd) and an Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (AZPDES) permit discharge. The proposed facility expansion would increase capacity to 16.6 mgd and the facility name would be changed to the “Central Buckeye Wastewater Treatment Plant.” The expanded facility would continue to have an AZPDES permit discharge.

The proposed Surprise Special Planning Area 2 Regional Water Reclamation Facility would have a capacity of 10.5 mgd and would be located in the northeast quarter of Section 18 of Township 4 North, Range 1 West. Reclaimed effluent would be disposed of through reuse, recharge and a potential future AZPDES permit discharge to the McMicken Dam Outlet Channel or the Agua Fria River.

Following consideration of comments received, it is anticipated that the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee will make a recommendation to the MAG Management Committee. On July 13, 2005, the MAG Management Committee is anticipated to make a recommendation to the MAG Regional Council. It is anticipated that the MAG Regional Council will take action on the draft plan amendments on July 27, 2005.

The draft documents will be available for public review at the MAG Office from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday beginning May 27, 2005. Copies will also be available for review at the Glendale Public Library, 5959 West Brown Street; Mesa Public Library, 64 East First Street; and Phoenix Central Public Library, 1221 North Central Avenue. Public comments are welcome at the hearing, or may be submitted in writing by 4:00 p.m. on July 12, 2005 to MAG staff at the address below.

Contact Person:  Julie Hoffman
302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300
Phoenix, AZ 85003
Fax: (602) 254-6490
MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
WATER QUALITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND PUBLIC HEARING
ON THE DRAFT MAG 208 WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN
AMENDMENTS FOR THE EXPANSION OF THE CENTRAL BUCKEYE WWTP
AND CITY OF SURPRISE SPECIAL PLANNING AREA 2 REGIONAL WRF

Phoenix, Arizona
July 12, 2005
4:05 p.m.

Glennie
Reporting Services
3333 North 7th St
Suite B110
Phoenix, Arizona 85014-2840

Prepared by:
Cecelia Brookman, RPR
Arizona Certified Court Reporter No. 50154

Prepared for:
MAG

(Original)
THE PUBLIC HEARING was taken on July 12, 2005, commencing at 4:05 p.m., at the offices of the Maricopa Association of Governments, 302 North First Avenue, Suite 200, Saguaro Room, Phoenix, Arizona, before CECELIA BROOKMAN, a Certified Court Reporter, Certificate No. 50154, for the State of Arizona.

Mr. Roger Klingler for the City of Scottsdale acted as the Chairman.

Members attending were Greg Stack, City of Avondale; Robert Goff, City of Chandler, appearing telephonically, for Jacqueline Strong; Lonnie Frost, Town of Gilbert; Chris Ochs, City of Glendale; David Iwanski, City of Goodyear; Bill Haney, appearing telephonically, City of Mesa; Steven Bontrager, appearing telephonically, City of Peoria; Robert Hollander, City of Phoenix, Rich Williams, Sr., City of Surprise; David McNeil, City of Tempe; Dale Bodiya for John Power, Maricopa County; Patrick Clay, U of A Cooperative Extension; Eugene Jensen, citizen representative.

Also present were Brenda Day, Maricopa Association of Governments; Doug Kobrick, CDM; Richard Rhoads, PERC; Julie Hoffman, Maricopa Association of Governments; Joe Giudice, Town of Buckeye; Lucky Roberts, Town of Buckeye; Jessica Marlow, CDM; Rachel Aja.
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Homebuilders Association of Central Arizona; Mark Edelman, Richmond American Homes of Arizona; Guy Carpenter, HDR Engineering; Scott Switzer, Lennar Communities; Dave Imoshita, Pulte Homes; Edwina Vogan, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality; Julie Finke, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality.
CHMN. KLINGLER: Good afternoon, thank you for attending our meeting.

I'd like to call the meeting to order. We do have a quorum, and I would like to double-check here with folks. Just remember that we need to speak into the microphone. We are expecting some folks that are going to join us by audio conference or videoconference and they can only hear you if you speak into the mike, and let's again, as we do, go around the table and introduce ourselves so everybody knows who is here. Let's start at Rich and go around.

MR. WILLIAMS: Rich Williams, City of Surprise.

MR. IWANSKI: Dave Iwanski, City of Goodyear.

MR. JENSEN: Gene Jensen, citizen representative.

MR. McNEIL: Dave McNeil, City of Tempe.

MR. HOLLANDER: Robert Hollander, City of Phoenix.

CHMN. KLINGLER: Roger Klingler, City of Scottsdale.

MR. OCHS: Chris Ochs, City of Glendale.

MR. STACK: Greg Stack, City of Avondale.

MR. FROST: Lonnie Frost, Town of Gilbert.
MR. CLAY: Patrick Clay, University of Arizona Cooperative Extension.

CHMN. KLINGLER: Do we have anybody, Jackie or Bill joining us?

MR. GOFF: This is Bob Goff from Chandler.

MR. HANEY: Bill Haney from Mesa. I have to excuse myself probably about 25 till.

CHMN. KLINGLER: We'll see if you're excused Bill, the Chair will rule on that. Thank you for joining us there.

We do have an item, our number two item on the agenda is for agencies' report on any activities of interest occurring, if they would have anything to report, go to that today.

(No response.)

CHMN. KLINGLER: Hearing none, let's go to our call to the audience. So it's an opportunity for any citizen to comment on any items that are not scheduled on today's agenda that fall under the jurisdiction of MAG or on items on the agenda for discussion but not for action. This is the only opportunity for comment on non action items.

Because of state law the committee may not discuss or take action on any items not scheduled on the agenda. For members of the audience who wish to speak,
cards are available. Does anyone want to speak from the audience that turned in a card?

(No response.)

CHMN. KLINGLER: Hearing none, let's proceed to the next item of business, which is the approval of our minutes from the last meeting of May 19th. Those minutes were distributed in advance and I've had an opportunity to review them. Are there any comments, any changes or additions to the minutes, or corrections?

(No response.)

CHMN. KLINGLER: Hearing none, the Chair will entertain a motion for approval.

MR. FROST: Move to approve.

CHMN. KLINGLER: Move to approve. Is there a second?

MR. WILLIAMS: Second.

CHMN. KLINGLER: Seconded. Any further discussion?

(No response.)

CHMN. KLINGLER: All in favor, please say aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHMN. KLINGLER: Proposed, nay.

(No response.)

CHMN. KLINGLER: Motion carries unanimously.
Let's just open our public hearing on the
draft MAG 208 plan amendment for the expansion of the
central Buckeye wastewater treatment plant and the City
of Surprise Special Planning Area 2 water reclamation
facility. We'll begin with a briefing on each draft
amendment, and following the briefing, hearing
participants are invited to make comments for the public
record.

A court reporter is present to provide an
official record of the hearing. Written comments are
also welcome. For those wishing to speak on any of the
draft amendments please fill out a yellow card
available --

MR. BONTRAGER: Steven Bontrager, City of
Peoria.

CHMN. KLINGLER: Welcome, Steve.

MR. BONTRAGER: Thank you.

CHMN. KLINGLER: Any member of the audience
fill out a yellow card and hand it to MAG staff. First
we'll have Doug Kobrick of CDM provide a briefing on the
draft central Buckeye expansion amendment. Doug.

MR. KOBRYICK: Thank you. This is the same
presentation basically you saw from me before, at the
previous meeting.

This is a proposed 208 plan amendment for
the central Buckeye wastewater treatment plant formerly known as the Buckeye wastewater treatment plant.

Basically, the central Buckeye service area is what used to be referred to as the core area of Buckeye. It's the existing town as we know it, and surrounding area, approximately both MAG Rd#s 278, 279. It's everything north of the Gila River and most of everything south of I-10, except for the Sundance area, and it spans from approximately State Route 85 on the west all the way over to the corporate boundary with the City of Goodyear on the east side of Buckeye.

You will note there are a couple of other treatment plants in the area. The green shaded area is the Sundance planning area. There is a treatment plant already in existence that serves the Sundance project there. A little further to the north is the Verrado wastewater treatment plant operated by Arizona American. It serves the Verrado project. To the west is another planning area for another proposed treatment plant, the Palo Verde Road wastewater treatment plant.

These are the population and flow projections for the central Buckeye service area for the next 20 years. You'll note that the cumulative population at the end of the 20-year period will be about 165,000 people, up from somewhere around 12,000 right
now. So a tremendous amount of growth expected in this area, and it will continue after this planning period is over as well.

So a large increase in flow. Converting that into MGD you can see that using 100 gallons per capita per day, we wind up with projected flow of 16.6 MGD at the end of the planning period.

There's a phased expansion strategy for this treatment plant. Right now the plant gets about one-half of an MGD, about 500,000 gallons per day of effluent flow. You can see obviously there's going to be a large jump in flow to 16.6. Right now there's an expansion to .97 MGD that is complete and is in the start-up phases right now, already constructed.

This layout shows the .97 MGD facility. Basically, it amounts to some modifications of the previously existing oxidation ditch, the construction of a new secondary clarifier, the abandonment of the existing sludge drawing beds, replacing them with a belt filter press for dewatering the biosalts from the plant, and some upgrades to the chlorine contact system.

The next step in our phased expansion strategy is to take the plant to one and a half MGD but we're making a stop along the way. One and a half MGD interim capacity, which will be in-service temporarily,
and we're accomplishing that by building some of the portions of the 4 MGD plant early, and combining them with the .97 MGD plant to yield one and a half MGD of capacity. That project is already in motion. That's within the previously existing two MGD planning for the City of Buckeye so we didn't require an amendment for that capacity. The project that we have completed design on now takes the plant adds 3 MGD to the plant, taking it to 4 MGD.

This shows the layout for the one and a half MGD plant. Basically what we're doing is constructing the anoxic cells of our future anoxic, oxic denitrification process early so we can combine them with the existing oxidation ditch and achieve a higher flow of denitrification of the plant. It requires a fair amount of temporary piping and pumping, but basically it's early construction of the anoxic basins.

When we go to 4 MGD we'll be adding an entirely new 3 MGD train, and this summarizes the treatment processes. There will be new headworks for the 3 MGD. Secondary treatment will consist of our inflow process for denitrification. We went beyond the conventional MLR process of our inflow because of the high input nitrogen concentration that had been monitored in Buckeye, and we're seeing, in some of the other
treatment facilities in the area, on the order of total kilo nitrogen from around 50 to 60, so that kind of leaves the realm of MLE effectiveness. So we've upgraded our inflow tertiary treatment with aquadisk type filters. We're going to intervene with the chlorine disinfection hyperchlorite facility, additional belt filter presses for solids dewatering. The sludge will be disposed of at a licensed landfill and the effluent will be discharged. The plant has a discharge permit to the Gila River and you see two alternatives there, an outfall pipe or a Buckeye irrigation district ditch. The town is very close to completing arrangements with the BID to make use of the ditch as the disposal point for the effluent from the plant.

This is a layout that shows the facilities we're adding, a new headworks, second clarifiers, set of anoxic and aeration basins on the west, which is the west in this view; the disk filters just to the south of the clarifiers, belt filter presses further to the south, and then chlorine disinfection on the east side of the plant.

As I mentioned, there's quite a bit additional flow anticipated at Buckeye. The concept for future expansions is to continue to construct 3 MGD increments of capacity as time goes on.

For starters, we're looking at mirroring the
current expansion. If permit requirements change in the future we may have to revisit that, but there's no indication that we will. We are taking a look right now at the potential to add primary clarifiers, and anaerobic digestion in the plant at some point in the future.

We're doing the site master plan study that's noted here. We're about halfway through that study. I'm trying to evaluate some of these additional concepts for the future.

The projected flow from the plant at the end of the 20-year planning period is 16.6 MGD, and this layout shows how we could accomplish that treatment on the existing site, and some additional land that the town owns to the south of the berm dock ferry that constitutes the treatment plant right now.

In addition, the town owns a sizable parcel of land across the street, to the east. It's about another 40 acres on the east side of Buckeye and Seventh Street. To the total plant site amounts to about 46 acres, and we believe it's going to be sufficient for all future expansions. As I mentioned, the flow will go beyond 16 MGD ultimately. Could be as high as 40 MGD, we believe, at full buildout.

Future treatment process options. As I mentioned, some consideration is being given to adding
primaries, or anaerobic digesters. We're evaluating the
feasibility of UV disinfection in place of chlorine. And
in the future, the town will be seeking potential
alternative methods of biosolids disposal besides just
the landfilling option. That could be land application
or other beneficial reuse.

At the last meeting there was a question
about the layout for the future plant site so I brought
this board here. I'm going to try to point to the board
without losing contact with the mike here, which may be
difficult. But if you look on what's your right side of
this sheet, you'll see a line of a number of treatment
trains. That's a layout for a 40 MGD plant, adding
33 MGD east of Seventh Street, and keeping the 7 MGD on
the west side of the street. There's sufficient space,
and we reserved space for additional biosolids processes
if we need them, and also for effluent storage and
potential distribution facilities, and effluent pump
station, for example.

Effluent quality goals. The plant is being
designed now to be Class A plus water quality, it will
stay that way. As I mentioned right now, the town
discharges its effluent from the treatment plant, but
certainly the goal in the long-term is to make a
beneficial reuse of that water. Biosolids, the current
design is to dewater the material to meet landfilling requirements, and the possibility of going to a higher grade product for the future is certainly there. But right now the plan is landfilling.

This is a summary of the permitting requirements we're addressing in the project.

And summary of our schedule. The one and a half MGD interim capacity is under construction now. Garney Construction is the cement risk firm building the project. The 4 MGD capacity is scheduled for mid 2006. There are some items that need to be resolved to get the CFU in place to fund that project, but they're moving forward. It may be late 2005, mid 2006, but it's still on track for 2006.

The seven or perhaps expansion to 10 MGD is in the planning phases right now. We've begun studies and design work for the expansion. Basically, we are going to be designing another 6 MGD there. The town and the group of developers that are funding that project may elect to build just three initially or they may build the full six, depending on how the development proceeds there in that central Buckeye area. As I mentioned, there's considerable room for future expansions as needed, based on the growth of the community.

Here's a summary of some of the
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implementation actions. The town will own and operate and maintain the plant throughout. They'll be in charge of getting all the permits and holding them. They will provide or obtain funding for designing construction. The 4 MGD increment capacity expansion, 2.4 MGD, is being funded by the CSD, it's in the process of being formed right now. The next couple of expansions is probably going to be funded in cash by a consortium of developers working with the town to expand the treatment plant, and beyond that it will have to be worked out on a as-needed basis. The town will pay the costs of O&M for the facility and recoup them from customer rates and charges. There aren't any impacts anticipated on any wastewater utilities or businesses, and the town has received a letter of no objection from Maricopa County, which is the only government jurisdiction adjoining or within three miles of the plant.

That concludes my presentation. Any questions?

CHMN. KLINGLER: Thank you, Doug. We did have an opportunity at the last meeting to have the committee ask a lot of questions at that point and you expanded on some of those here. What we'd like to do is go through the next presentation, and have any public comments and if you could hang around for after that, if...
there's any committee comments. We'll move on to the next one right now. Thanks, Doug.

That was our Buckeye presentation, and then we're going to talk about the Surprise amendment, and Rich, you wanted to introduce that. Were you going to address the letter that's at folks' desk or did you want the staff to do that too, or is that part of the presentation?

MR. WILLIAMS: If staff could address the letter I think that would be appropriate. I just wanted to take a few seconds and restate that the Lennar group and the city are partnering on the Asante project in developing in the new planning area, Special Planning Area 2, as part of our six planning areas that we provided for our city general plan and, too, for better management.

Again, I restate we have a wastewater master plan in place. We have an ongoing technology assessment. After the developer phase, which is represented here today, we're working with a larger group of developers for the city to design and construct a regional facility in phases, in module phases, two to three million gallons per day, and to again restate that the city will be the permit holder and own and operate the facility once the construction and the performance testing is concluded.
CHMN. KLINGLER: Rick, Go ahead with the presentation, then.

MR. RHOADS: I'm Rick Rhoads. I'm operations manager for Pacific Environmental Resources Corporation and on behalf of PERC I would like to thank you for the opportunity to make this presentation.

SPA 2 regional water reclamation facility will serve the Asante, Desert Oasis, and other developments located in the SPA 2 service area of Surprise. We'll discuss the population and wastewater projections for this area and what type of treatment process and effluent disposal.

Phase I of the SPA 2 facility will be financed by Lennar Corporation. Upon completion of the facility, ownership will be conveyed to the City of Surprise. The City of Surprise will operate the facility with city staff or with contract operations.

This is a map illustrating the City of Surprise special planning areas. The area in blue is the SPA 2 service area. The SPA 2 regional water reclamation facility will be designed to handle the Asante master planned community, Desert Oasis and other developments within the SPA 2 service area.

By 2020, it's estimated the population of the SPA 2 service area will be approximately 96,600
people. That equates to 10.5 million gallons per day in expected flows to that area. The SPA 2 regional water reclamation facility will be designed in phases capable of expanding to accommodate the ultimate buildout of the surrounding development with the ultimate buildout being 10.5 MGD, first phase being 1.2 MGD.

The SPA 2 site was selected for the following reasons: Wastewater flows by gravity to this facility; there are sufficient setbacks at the site; makes effective use of the land; and it's close to possible discharge locations.

Phase I of the facility will be a 1.2 MGD PERC ASP facility, which is a hybrid SBR tertiary treatment using disk filtration and UV disinfection. The facility will meet ADEQ Title 18 Class A plus effluent standards as well as EPA Class B biosolids requirements.

The treatment process will be completely enclosed and supplied with odcr control equipment. You can see the basins will be underneath the buildings.

This slide illustrates the treatment process schematics from the headworks through disinfection process. The SPA 2 water reclamation facility will produce Class A plus effluent meeting Arizona Title 18 requirements.

This is an overview of the regulatory...
agencies we are working with to obtain the necessary permits for the facility.

This is the anticipated schedule for the facility. The first phase design will be complete in September of this year. Construction will begin December of this year and continue through December of 2006, with start-up commencing in January of 2007.

These are the primary and secondary effluent disposal options. Potential irrigation of golf courses and parks; on-site reuse for processed water; potential lake source water; landscaped irrigation; discharge to adjacent recharge basins; underground storage and recovery; and possibly an AZPDES discharging plan.

CHMW. KLINGLER: Thank you, Rick, I appreciate it. If you would stand by after the public comment, if there's any questions we'll get to that, I'd appreciate it. Thank you.

I'd like to give Brenda the chance to go over the letter that's at your desk.

MS. DAY: I wanted to explain real quickly why the letter was handed out. The City of Peoria revised their objection, no objection letter as a jurisdiction within three miles. They wanted to clarify they have no concern with the planning area boundaries for the special planning area facility, just to clear it
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up. It was mentioned in the initial letter, and a
cconcern with the plan area boundary was mentioned and it
turned out that was actually a concern with our October
2002 MAG 208 plan map for the City of Surprise. That's
what he was referring to. So he just wanted to clarify
in this letter here.

CHMN. KLINGLER: There's just so many going
on in Surprise, even Peoria can't keep up. Thank you,
Brenda, appreciate the letter. Thank you for clarifying.

What we're going to do next, the public
comments are invited on each of these amendments, and
right at the beginning of the cards at this point is
there anyone in the audience that wants to provide public
comment at this public hearing on these two amendments?
(No response.)

CHMN. KLINGLER: Hearing none then at this
time I'd like to officially close the public hearing and
request the court reporter end the transcription. Thank
you.

(TIME NOTED: 4:29 p.m.)
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