

## FY 2025 RENEWAL HOUSING PROJECT SCORECARD (PSH/RRH)

### PART A: PROJECT DESIGN - THRESHOLD

Criteria		Applies to	Scorer	Submission
A.1	Project Eligibility	PSH/RRH	MAG Staff	File
A.2	Project Summary	PSH/RRH	MAG Staff	Narrative

### PART B: PROJECT PERFORMANCE

Criteria	Applies to	Scorer	Submission	Total Points	
B.1	Positive Exit Destinations	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	5
B.2	<b>Returns to Homelessness</b> (Exits to Homeless Destinations)	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	6
B.3	<b>Earned Income</b>	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	6
B.4	Non-employment Cash Income	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	4
B.5	<b>Supportive Service Participation</b>	PSH/RRH	Auto	Self-Report and MAG Staff	6
B.6	High Need Population	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	6
B.7	Utilization Rate	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR & CoC App	5
B.8	Monitoring	PSH/RRH	MAG Staff	Self-Report and MAG Staff	5
B.9	Grant Spenddown	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	6
B.10	Performance Discretionary	PSH/RRH	Rank & Review	Narrative	5
<b>Sub-Total</b>				<b>54</b>	

### PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

Criteria	Applies to	Scorer	Submission	Total Points	
C.1	Lived Experience	PSH/RRH	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
C.2	HMIS Data Quality	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	4
C.3	CE Acceptance	PSH/RRH	Auto	HMIS Data	4
<b>Sub-Total</b>				<b>12</b>	

**Total Score: 66**

**Performance Based Points: 61**

**Performance Based, Objective Rating: 92%**

## FY 2025 RENEWAL HOUSING PROJECT APPLICATION (PSH/RRH)

### PART A: PROJECT DESIGN - THRESHOLD

<b>A.1 Project Eligibility:</b> Please verify your project’s eligibility as a recipient of the CoC grant. Submit the project’s draft HUD e-snaps application and the most recent signed HUD grant agreement. Attach letters of commitment, contracts, or other formal documents that demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match. Attach your supportive service agreement.	
<b>Required Submissions:</b> Draft HUD e-snaps Application; HUD Grant Agreement, Attachments.	<b>Scoring:</b> n/a
<b>A.2 Project Summary:</b> Please summarize the day-to-day operation of your project with details on the type and scale of all supportive services to be offered (including the funding source or partnership of each). A response should demonstrate an understanding of the needs of the participants to be served.	
<b>Required Submission:</b> Narrative (800-word limit)	<b>Scoring:</b> n/a

### PART B: PROJECT PERFORMANCE

System performance benchmarks were obtained by averaging CY2023, federal fiscal year 2024 and CY2024 System Annual Performance Report key performance indicators (KPI<sup>1</sup>), calculated using the definitions below. The benchmarks are included in the middle of the bolded scoring ranges for each of the KPIs below. As the system performs at the respective average, it is expected that individual projects will perform in that range as well. High performing projects that are performing above the average will have the opportunity to be awarded the top score for the respective KPI. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) scoring ranges are marked in black and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) in blue.

<b>B.1 Positive Exit Destinations:</b> As reported in the APR, the percentage of people in the project who exited the project during the year to a permanent destination or continued to be stably housed (PSH).		
<b>Data Autoscored:</b> APR Qs 23c, 5a	<b>Scoring (PSH):</b> 5 = 95% or more 4 = <b>93 – 94.9%</b> 3 = 90 – 92.9% 2 = 87 – 89.9% 1 = 84 – 86.9% 0 = less than 84%	<b>Scoring (RRH):</b> 5 = 82% or more; 4 = <b>79 – 81.9%</b> ; 3 = 76 – 78.9%; 2 = 72 – 75.9%; 1 = 68 – 71.9%; 0 = less than 68%;
PSH: (5a8 Number of Stayers + Q23c Total persons exiting to Permanent Situations) / (5a1 Total number of persons served – Q23c Total persons whose destination excluded)	<b>5 Points Total</b>	<b>5 Points Total</b>
RRH: Q23c Total persons exiting to Permanent Situations / (Q23c Total – Q23c Total persons whose destination excluded)		
<b>B.2 Returns to Homelessness (Exits to Homeless Destinations):</b> As reported in the APR, the percentage of people in the project who exited the project during the year to homeless situation (place not meant for habitation, emergency shelter, including hotel or motel, host homes and safe haven) (measure closest to recidivism).		

<sup>1</sup> A key performance indicator (KPI) is a quantifiable metric that tracks progress toward a specific goal and serves as a performance benchmark that helps assess success over time.

<p><b><u>Data Autoscored:</u></b>  APR Q 23  Q23c Subtotal - Homeless Situations /  (Q23c Total – Q23c Total persons  whose destination excluded)</p>	<p><b><u>Scoring (PSH):</u></b>  <b>6</b> = 15.9% or less  <b>4</b> = <b>16 - 20.9%</b>  <b>2</b> = 21 - 25.9%  <b>0</b> = more than 26%</p> <hr/> <p><b>6 Points Total</b></p>	<p><b><u>Scoring (RRH):</u></b>  <b>6</b> = 8.9% or less  <b>4</b> = <b>9 - 11.9%</b>  <b>2</b> = 12 - 14.9%  <b>0</b> = more than 15%</p> <hr/> <p><b>6 Points Total</b></p>
<p><b>B.3 Earned Income:</b> As reported in the APR, the percentage of people aged 18 and older who increased earned income at the end of the operating year or project exit, either by gaining employment or by increasing the amount of their earned income.</p>		
<p><b><u>Data Autoscored:</u></b>  APR Qs 19a1, 19a2, 18</p> <p>(Q19a1 <b>Number of Adults with Earned Income</b> Retained and Same + Retained and Increased + Gained + Q19a2 <b>Number of Adults with Earned Income</b> Retained and Same + Retained and Increased + Gained) / (Q18 <b>Total Adults</b> – Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment Number of Adults at Annual Assessment)</p>	<p><b><u>Scoring (PSH):</u></b>  <b>6</b> = 11% or more  <b>5</b> = <b>8 – 10.9%</b>  <b>4</b> = 5 – 7.9%  <b>2</b> = 3 – 4.9%  <b>0</b> = less than 3%</p> <hr/> <p><b>6 Points Total</b></p>	<p><b><u>Scoring (RRH):</u></b>  <b>6</b> = 32% or more;  <b>5</b> = <b>27 – 31.9%</b>;  <b>4</b> = 22 – 26.9%;  <b>2</b> = 17 – 21.9%;  <b>0</b> = less than 17%;</p> <hr/> <p><b>6 Points Total</b></p>
<p><b>B.4 Non-employment cash income:</b> As reported in the APR, the percentage of people aged 18 and older who increased non-employment cash income at the end of the operating year or program exit.</p>		
<p><b><u>Data Autoscored:</u></b>  APR Qs 19a1, 19a2, 18</p> <p>(Q19a1 <b>Number of Adults with Only Other Income</b> Retained and Same + Retained and Increased + Gained + Q19a2 <b>Number of Adults with Only Other Income</b> Retained and Same + Retained and Increased + Gained) / (Q18 <b>Total Adults</b> – Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment Number of Adults at Annual Assessment)</p>	<p><b><u>Scoring (PSH):</u></b>  <b>4</b> = 54% or more  <b>3</b> = <b>49 – 53.9%</b>  <b>1</b> = 44 – 48.9%  <b>0</b> = less than 44%</p> <hr/> <p><b>4 Points Total</b></p>	<p><b><u>Scoring (RRH):</u></b>  <b>4</b> = 36% or more  <b>3</b> = <b>30 – 35.9%</b>  <b>1</b> = 25 – 29.9%  <b>0</b> = less than 25%</p> <hr/> <p><b>4 Points Total</b></p>
<p><b>B.5 Supportive Service Participation:</b> Please provide your project’s approach to require project participants to participate in services by occupancy agreement, or lease. Attach your supportive service agreement. Participation requirements must be in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h). Examples of services include case management, employment assistance and job training, life skills training, and substance abuse treatment. Please describe how your project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources and participants will be assisted in obtaining mainstream health, social and employment benefits.</p>		
<p><b><u>Required Submission:</u></b>  Self-Report  Narrative (300-word limit)</p>	<p><b><u>Scoring:</u></b>  + 2 for describing the approach to require participants to participate in services described above  + 2 for describing partnerships with public or private sources and the resources (\$s and % of project budget) these partnerships will bring</p>	

	+ 2 for attaching quality and compliant supportive services agreements	
	<b>6 Points Total</b>	
<b>B.6 High Need Population:</b> As reported in the APR, the percentage of people served by the project who are high need at entry, including: Disabled Households, Unsheltered Adults, Older Adults (age 65 and over), Adult Victims of Domestic Violence or Sexual Assault.		
<b>Data Autoscored:</b>	<b>6 points Maximum</b>	
<b>a. Disabled Households with 2 and 3 conditions at entry</b> APR Qs 13a2, 8a PSH: (Q13a2 2 Conditions+ Q13a2 3+ Conditions) /Q8a Total Households Served who Moved into Housing RRH: (Q13a2 2 Conditions+ Q13a2 3+ Conditions) / Q8a Total Households	<b>Scoring</b> <b>Disabled (PSH):</b> 3 = 81% or more 2 = 76 – 80.9% 1 = 71 – 75.9% 0 = less than 71%	<b>Scoring</b> <b>Disabled (RRH):</b> 3 = 49% or more; 2 = 44 – 48.9%; 1 = 39 – 43.9%; 0 = less than 39%;
<b>b. Unsheltered Adults:</b> APR Q 15  Q15 "Place not meant for habitation"/ Q5a2 Number of Adults	<b>Unsheltered (PSH):</b> 3 = 40% or more 2 = 35 – 39.9% 1 = 29 – 34.9% 0 = less than 29%	<b>Unsheltered (RRH):</b> 3 = 38% or more; 2 = 33 – 37.9%; 1 = 28 – 32.9%; 0 = less than 28%;
<b>c. Older Adults (age 65 and over)</b> APR Q 11  Q11 65+ / Q5a2 Number of Adults	<b>Older Adults (age 65 and over) (PSH):</b> 3 = 13% or more 2 = 10% – 12.9% 1 = 7% – 9.9% 0 = less than 7%	<b>Older Adults (age 65 and over)(RRH):</b> 3 = 13% or more 2 = 10 – 12.9% 1 = 7 – 9.9% 0 = less than 7%
<b>d. Adult Victims of Domestic Violence or Sexual Assault</b> APR Qs 14a, Q5a  Q14a Yes / Q5a2 Number of Adults	<b>Adult Victims of Domestic Violence or Sexual Assault (PSH):</b> 3 = 32% or more 2 = 27 – 31.9% 1 = 22 – 26.9% 0 = less than 22%	<b>Adult Victims of Domestic Violence or Sexual Assault (RRH):</b> 3 = 30% or more; 2 = 25 – 29.9%; 1 = 20 – 24.9%; 0 = less than 20%;
	<b>3 Points Total</b>	<b>3 Points Total</b>
	<b>3 Points Total</b>	<b>3 Points Total</b>
	<b>3 Points Total</b>	<b>3 Points Total</b>
	<b>3 Points Total</b>	<b>3 Points Total</b>
<b>B.7 Utilization Rate:</b> As reported in the APR, the average utilization rate during the operating year.		
<b>Data Autoscored:</b> APR Q 08b, CoC esnaps App	<b>Scoring (PSH):</b>	
PSH: (Q08b January + April + July + October)/4 / Project UNIT Capacity	5 = 96% or more 4 = 93 – 95.9% 3 = 90 – 92.9% 2 = 87 – 89.9% 1 = 84 – 86.9% 0 = less than 84%	<b>Scoring (RRH):</b> 5 = 84% or more 4 = 80 – 83.9% 3 = 76 – 79.9% 2 = 72 – 75.9% 1 = 68 – 71.9% 0 = less than 68%
RRH: (Q08b January + April + July + October)/4 / (Project Full Capacity for		

One Night as Committed in the CoC Application, Screen 5A, Total Number of Households)	<b>5 Points Total</b>	<b>5 Points Total</b>
<b>B.8 Monitoring:</b> Please provide an explanation for any HUD or MAG monitoring letters related to projects open January 1, 2023 to present. These include notices provided by MAG for Sage reporting, quarterly grant spenddown, or data quality, and open monitoring findings from either MAG or HUD.		
<b>Required Submission:</b>  Self-Report and MAG Staff Narrative (300-word limit)	<b>Scoring (w/ Findings):</b> + 3 for identified steps to remediate open findings or issues + 2 for no past due reporting or untimely monitoring activities	<b>Scoring (No Findings):</b>  + 5 for an project having no open monitoring findings or notices
	<b>5 Points Total</b>	<b>5 Points Total</b>
<b>B.9 Grant Spenddown:</b> Percentage of disbursed HUD funding used for the most recent operating year.		
<b>Data Autoscored:</b> APR Q28, HUD Award List	<b>Scoring:</b> 6 = 98% – 100% 4 = 95% – 97.9% 2 = 90% – 94.9% 1 = Less than 90%	
	<b>6 Points Total</b>	
<b>B.10 Performance Discretionary:</b> Agencies may submit a narrative to supplement the performance data above. The narrative should explain why full points were not received and what will be done moving forward to improve upon current project performance.		
<b>Required Submissions:</b>  Narrative (500-word limit)	<b>Scoring:</b> 3 = why were full points not received 2 = what will be done to improve current performance	
	<b>5 Points Total</b>	

### **PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES**

<b>C.1 Lived Experience:</b> Describe how your project takes proactive steps to ensure the participation of homeless or formerly homeless individuals in decision-making and project design through feedback loops, listening sessions, or leadership opportunities.		
<b>Required Submissions:</b>  Narrative (800-word limit)	<b>Scoring:</b> + 2 for lived experience membership on boards or committees + 2 for incorporation of lived experience in project design	
	<b>4 Points Total</b>	
<b>C.2 HMIS Data Quality:</b> As reported in the APR, the percentage of total HMIS fields across all persons served that are missing or in error.		

<p><b><u>Data Autoscored:</u></b>  <i>APR Qs: 5a, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d</i></p> <p>(Q6a Total errors for Name, Date of Birth, Race/Ethnicity + Q6b Total errors for Project Start Date, Relationship to HoH, Disabling Condition + Q6c Destination, Income and Sources at Start, Annual Assessment, and Exit + 6d Total errors)/ Q5a1 Total Number of Persons Served</p>	<p><b><u>Scoring (PSH):</u></b></p> <p><b>4</b> = less than 19%  <b>3</b> = 19 – 21.9%  <b>2</b> = 22 – 23.9%  <b>1</b> = 24 – 25.9%  <b>0</b> = more than 26%</p> <hr/> <p><b>4 Points Total</b></p>	<p><b><u>Scoring (RRH):</u></b></p> <p><b>4</b> = less than 22%;  <b>3</b> = 22 – 24.9%;  <b>2</b> = 25 – 26.9%;  <b>1</b> = 27 – 28.9%;  <b>0</b> = more than 29%;</p> <hr/> <p><b>4 Points Total</b></p>
<p><b>C.3 Coordinated Entry (CE) Acceptance:</b> <i>As reported in HMIS, the percentage of eligible referrals accepted by the project from the Family and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System.</i></p>		
<p><b><u>Data Autoscored:</u></b>  <i>HMIS Report</i></p>	<p><b><u>Scoring:</u></b></p> <p><b>4</b> = 95% or more  <b>3</b> = 90% – 94.9%  <b>2</b> = 85% – 89.9%  <b>1</b> = 80% – 84.9%  <b>0</b> = Less than 80%</p> <hr/> <p><b>4 Points Total</b></p>	